

**SUMMARY REPORT**  
**CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**

COMPLAINT LOG NO.  
1025008

TYPE  
CR, CONF

DATE OF REPORT (Day-Mo.-Yr.)  
25 OCT 2010

INSTRUCTIONS: SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: ☐ CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR,  
INDEPENDENT POLICE REVIEW AUTHORITY ☒ CHIEF,  
INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME  
Michael S. CUMMINS RANK Sgt STAR NO. 2058 EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED] UNIT ASSIGN. 121 UNIT DETAILED [REDACTED]

REFERENCE NOS. (LIST ALL RELATED C.L., C. B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS., ETC., PERTINENT TO THIS INVESTIGATION)  
Search Warrant [REDACTED]

ADDRESS OF INCIDENT [REDACTED] DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME 26 MAR 09 0758 BEAT OF INCIDENT 524

NAME 1. Samantha MOORE RANK PO STAR NO. 13134 EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED] UNIT ASSIGN. 004 UNIT DETAILED 376

2. [REDACTED] RANK [REDACTED] STAR NO. [REDACTED] EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED] UNIT ASSIGN. [REDACTED] UNIT DETAILED [REDACTED]

3. [REDACTED] RANK [REDACTED] STAR NO. [REDACTED] EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED] UNIT ASSIGN. [REDACTED] UNIT DETAILED [REDACTED]

SEX/RACE D.O.B. DATE OF APPOINTMENT DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)  
1. F/B [REDACTED] 79 29 NOV 04 ☐ ON DUTY ☒ OFF DUTY ☒ SWORN ☐ CIVILIAN

2. [REDACTED] ☐ ON DUTY ☐ OFF DUTY ☐ SWORN ☐ CIVILIAN

3. [REDACTED] ☐ ON DUTY ☐ OFF DUTY ☐ SWORN ☐ CIVILIAN

IF APPLIES, DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED CHARGES COURT BRANCH DISPOSITION & DATE

1. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

2. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

3. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

NAME ADDRESS\*\* CITY STATE TELEPHONE SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE

Sgt Martin MURPHY #2212 Unit 212, Employee [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] M/W

Sgt Michael S. CUMMINS #2058 Unit 121, Employee [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] M/W

NAME ADDRESS\*\* CITY STATE TELEPHONE SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE

[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

NAME ADDRESS\*\* CITY STATE TELEPHONE SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE

P.O. Kenneth WOJTAN #8548 Unit 212, Employee [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] M/W

P.O. Lionel PIPER #14650 Unit 212, employee [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] M/B

☒ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

\* SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR INSTRUCTIONS FOR STATING ALLEGATIONS, AND COMPLETING THE REMAINDER OF THE SUMMARY REPORT.  
\*\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

ALLEGATIONS

SEE ATTACHED.

**Additional Witnesses (Continued):**

3. P.O. David SHOWERS #19918, Unit 212, Employee # [REDACTED] M/B.
4. P.O. Michael KOCANDA #5330, Unit 212, Employee # [REDACTED] M/W.
5. P.O. Richard ANTONSEN #19692, Unit 212, Employee # [REDACTED] M/W.
6. P.O. John FOERTSCH #9195, Unit 212, Employee # [REDACTED] M/W.
7. P.O. Andre CRAIG #7175, Unit 212, Employee # [REDACTED] M/B.
8. P.O. Zachary SCOTT #6187, 003<sup>rd</sup> District, Employee # [REDACTED] M/B.
9. P.O. Richard BOLTON #3289, Unit 212, Employee # [REDACTED] M/B.
10. P.O. Karina AGUILAR #6018, 005<sup>th</sup> District, Employee # [REDACTED] /H.
11. P.O. Rahman MUHAMMAD #1856, 004<sup>th</sup> District, Employee # [REDACTED] /B.
12. P.O. Joseph VERDIN #19117, Unit 212, Employee # [REDACTED] M/H.
13. P.O. Gary CLAXTON #11299, 022<sup>nd</sup> District, Employee # [REDACTED] M/B.

**ALLEGATIONS:**

1. It is alleged by the complainant, Sgt Martin Murphy #2212, that on or about 26 MAR 09 at the location of [REDACTED] the accused, Officer Samantha Moore #13134, was knowingly associating with a convicted felon [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

2. It is alleged by the complainant, Sgt Martin Murphy #2212, that on or about 26 MAR 09 at the location of [REDACTED] and during the execution of Search Warrant [REDACTED] contraband (suspect marijuana) was recovered from the residence of the accused, Officer Samantha Moore #13134.

3. It is alleged by the complainant, Sgt Martin Murphy #2212, that on or about 26 MAR 09 at the location of [REDACTED] and during the execution of Search Warrant [REDACTED] two unregistered firearms were recovered from the residence of the accused, Officer Samantha Moore #13134.

4. It is alleged by the complainant, Sgt Martin Murphy #2212, that on or about 26 MAR 09 at the location of [REDACTED] the accused, Officer Samantha Moore #13134, while off duty, failed to properly secure her duty weapon.

5. It is alleged by the complainant, Sgt Michael Cummins #2058, that on or about 26 MAR 09 at the location of [REDACTED] the accused, Officer Samantha Moore #13134, was found to be in possession of printed CPD I-CLEAR records without any valid police purpose.

**ATTACHMENTS:**

1. Complainant Face Sheet
2. Conflict Certification (Cummins)

Internal Affairs Division  
Confidential Investigations Section

25 OCT 2010  
Complaint Register Number 1025008

3. Sworn Affidavit (Not required)
4. Initiation Report of Sgt Martin Murphy #2212
5. Search Warrant [REDACTED]
6. Area Two Gun Team Case Report [REDACTED]
7. Post-execution Search Warrant Data Report
8. Vice Case Report [REDACTED]
9. Supplementary Report [REDACTED] (Capt. O'Donnell #13)
10. Property Inventory [REDACTED]
11. Property Inventory [REDACTED]
12. Property Inventory [REDACTED]
13. Property Inventory [REDACTED]
14. Property Inventory [REDACTED]
15. Property Inventory [REDACTED]
16. Property Inventory [REDACTED]
17. Property Inventory [REDACTED]
18. Property Inventory [REDACTED]
19. Property Inventory [REDACTED]
20. Property Inventory [REDACTED]
21. Property Inventory [REDACTED]
22. Arrest Report: Larry D. CONNERS [REDACTED]
23. Arrest Report: Cornelius N. MOORE [REDACTED]
24. Criminal History Report: Cornelius N. MOORE [REDACTED]
25. Notification of Duty Restrictions: Officer Samantha MOORE #13134
26. Urinalysis Drug Screening Report
27. Request for Crime Scene Photographs
28. Photographs: [REDACTED]
29. Request for Technical Support
30. Surveillance Report 10 MAR 10
31. Surveillance Report 15 MAR 10
32. Surveillance Report 16 MAR 10
33. Witness Notification: [REDACTED]
34. Complaining Witness Statement [REDACTED]
35. Notification of Allegations: Samantha MOORE
36. Accused Statement: Samantha MOORE
37. Witness Notification: [REDACTED]
38. Witness Statement [REDACTED]
39. Witness Notification: [REDACTED]
40. Witness Statement: [REDACTED]
41. Witness Notification [REDACTED]
42. Witness Statement: [REDACTED]
43. Witness Notification: [REDACTED]
44. Witness Statement: [REDACTED]
45. Witness Notification [REDACTED]
46. Witness Statement: [REDACTED]
47. Witness Notification [REDACTED]
48. Witness Statement: [REDACTED]

Internal Affairs Division  
Confidential Investigations Section

25 OCT 2010  
Complaint Register Number 1025008

- 49. Witness Notification: [REDACTED]
- 50. Witness Statement: [REDACTED]
- 51. Witness Notification: [REDACTED]
- 52. Witness Statement: [REDACTED]
- 53. Witness Notification: [REDACTED]
- 54. Witness Statement: [REDACTED]
- 55. Witness Notification: [REDACTED]
- 56. Witness Statement: [REDACTED]
- 57. Witness Notification: [REDACTED]
- 58. Witness Statement: [REDACTED]
- 59. Witness Notification: [REDACTED]
- 60. Witness Statement: [REDACTED]
- 61. Witness Notification: [REDACTED]
- 62. Witness Statement: [REDACTED]
- 63. Incident Search Report: [REDACTED]
- 64. Conflict Certification: [REDACTED]
- 65. CPD Rules & Regulations #2 and #10
- 66. CPD General Order 07-01. XVII.A.1
- 67. Complimentary History of Accused
- 68. Disciplinary History of Accused

**INVESTIGATION:**

This investigation was originally assigned to Sgt Luz NIEVES #1188 on 28 MAR 09 and reassigned to R/Sgt on 14 DEC 09.

This Complaint Register investigation was initiated by Sgt Martin MURPHY #2212, supervisor of the Area Two Gun Team, subsequent to the execution of Search Warrant [REDACTED]. This search warrant was obtained based on information from a registered confidential informant who testified that on 24 MAR 09 she had purchased cannabis in the living room of the residence located at [REDACTED] Street from a male black individual known as [REDACTED] (attachment 5). The Search Warrant was issued by Judge Michelle JORDAN.

On 26 MAR 09 at 0758 hours, the Area Two Gun Team led by Sgt MURPHY and assisted by 005<sup>th</sup> District personnel, executed Search Warrant [REDACTED] (attachments 6 & 7). Recovered in the search were two unregistered firearms (attachments 13 & 14), cannabis (attachments 10, 11 & 12), and miscellaneous ammunition (attachment 20). There were several people in the house at the time that the warrant was executed, including two juveniles. Two male occupants of the house were arrested [REDACTED] (attachments 22 & 23). During the course of the search the owner of the house identified herself as Chicago Police Officer Samantha MOORE #13134.

Upon learning that MOORE was a police office, Sgt MURPHY inquired about her duty weapon. Officer MOORE informed Sgt MURPHY that her duty weapon was

unsecured in a kitchen cabinet. MURPHY retrieved this weapon (attachment 6). Murphy asked MOORE about the firearms found in the downstairs bedroom and MOORE told MURPHY that those guns belonged to [REDACTED] (attachments 4 & 34).

Officer MOORE was transported to Area Two Headquarters. The two arrestees were also taken there for processing. During the course of this processing, arrestee [REDACTED] made verbal and written statements that the two unregistered firearms in the house belonged to him, and he was charged accordingly (attachments 6 & 22). Also discovered during the course of the arrestee processing was the fact that [REDACTED] Officer Samantha MOORE and a convicted felon (attachment 24).

When it was established that the owner of the residence at [REDACTED] was indeed a Chicago Police Officer, Sgt MURPHY initiated this Complaint Register investigation (attachment 4). The Internal Affairs Division was notified and Sgts Luz NIEVES #1188 and Michael BARZ #2625 responded to Area Two Headquarters. Officer MOORE was not placed under arrest or charged with a crime (attachment 9). However, pending the results of this investigation, and based on the gravity of the allegations, Officer MOORE was relieved of her police powers (attachment 25). Because of the evidence of cannabis recovered from her house, Officer MOORE was also compelled to submit to a urinalysis drug screening (attachment 26). The results of this screening were negative.

As regards Allegation #1, that the accused was knowingly associating with a convicted felon, it must be noted in this case that the convicted felon is [REDACTED] Officer Samantha MOORE. In her formal statement to R/Sgt. MOORE (attachment 36) stated that [REDACTED] had been living with her at [REDACTED] for about six months prior to the execution of Search Warrant [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated that she was aware that [REDACTED] was a convicted felon and she was aware of the Department rule prohibiting association with a convicted felon. She allowed him to live with her, she stated, because [REDACTED] and "he didn't have nowhere else to go" (attachment 36, pages 3-4). [REDACTED] did state that her brother is no longer residing with her (attachment 36, page 4), and subsequent surveillances conducted by this unit support this claim (attachments 30-32).

As regards Allegation #2, that contraband (suspect marijuana) was recovered from the residence of the accused during the execution of Search Warrant [REDACTED] the evidence is incontrovertible: a canine unit team detected positive sniffs for narcotics in upstairs and basement bedrooms; the search team recovered marijuana from both the upstairs and basement levels of the house; also recovered were rolled marijuana cigarettes and Ziploc bags of a type used to package marijuana. All of these items were inventoried (attachments 10,11,12 & 15). The accused stated that she "didn't have any knowledge" of anyone using or selling cannabis in her residence (attachment 36, page 5). However, of the fourteen search team members interviewed for this investigation, five recalled with certainty that they could smell marijuana throughout the house (attachments 38, 42, 44, 46 & 48); the other nine could not recall with certainty. The officers who did recall smelling marijuana variously described the smell as "strong all over" the house

(attachment 44, page 3), "very strong" (attachment 46, page 4), and "the place reeked of it" (attachment 48, page 4). Officer KOCANDA stated that the Ziploc baggies were in plain view in the basement bedroom (attachment 44, page 4). Furthermore, Judge Michelle Jordan found the account of the registered confidential informant – that she had purchased marijuana in the living room of Officer MOORE's residence - sufficiently convincing to establish probable cause for this Search Warrant.

As regards Allegation #3, that two unregistered firearms were recovered from the residence of the accused during the execution of Search Warrant [REDACTED], the evidence is again incontrovertible: both firearms were found secreted in the downstairs [REDACTED] photographed in situ (attachment 28), and inventoried (attachments 13 & 14). When asked at the scene to whom these guns belonged, the accused Officer MOORE told Sgt Martin MURPHY that they belonged to [REDACTED] (attachment 4). This statement indicated to the complainant that [REDACTED] may have had some prior knowledge of these weapons and their ownership. When asked about this by Capt O'DONNELL at Area 2, MOORE responded that she had answered [REDACTED] when asked who owned the guns because she felt that her brother would not bring unregistered guns into her house, so she assumed it must have been [REDACTED] (attachment 9). MOORE made this same statement to R/Sgt, (attachment 36, pages 4-5), claiming that she had no prior knowledge of these weapons.

[REDACTED] admitted to investigators that these firearms belonged to him and he was charged with their possession (attachment 22). Based on the photographic evidence showing where the guns were discovered and the statements of the witnesses who executed the search warrant, R/Sgt concludes that the accused may reasonably claim that she had no direct knowledge of the two unregistered firearms recovered from her residence. However reasonable, this claim does not obviate her responsibilities as a homeowner. The accused conceded that she had two members of the Gangster Disciple street gang living with her, although she claimed not to know of [REDACTED] affiliation until the warrant was served (attachment 36, page 3); she stated that she received visits at her house from [REDACTED] the target of Search Warrant [REDACTED] who allegedly sold cannabis to the Registered Confidential Informant in MOORE's living room; and she stated to the complainant Sgt MURPHY and to R/Sgt that her house a [REDACTED] had recently been "shot up" (attachment 36, page 6-7) and that her brother [REDACTED] told her that he was the target of this attack. It is the conclusion of this investigation that it is incumbent upon a responsible homeowner who is also a sworn peace officer to be circumspect in the maintenance of their household and vigilant in providing a safe environment for all of its members. Any lapse in this circumspection is especially egregious when the household includes children and the homeowner knows that other members of her household have been recent targets of armed violence (attachment 63).

As regards Allegation #4, that the accused failed to properly secure her duty weapon, the evidence and the statements collected in the course of this investigation show that this is clearly the case. According to the statements of both the complainant Sgt MURPHY (attachment 34, page 5) and the warrant affiant Officer WOJTAN (attachment 38, page 4), the duty weapon of the accused was recovered from an unlocked

kitchen cabinet, easily accessible to any member of the household, and the weapon was fully loaded and not provided with a trigger lock or any other safety mechanism. The accused Officer MOORE confirmed that the weapon was stored fully loaded in an unlocked kitchen cabinet when it was recovered (attachment 36, page 5). MOORE stated that she normally kept her duty weapon in a [REDACTED] (attachment 36, page 6), but on 26 MAR 09 "I left out in a rush, so I just put it in the cabinet and nobody saw me put it there. It was high up."

The fact remains unexcused that Officer MOORE left her duty weapon unsecured and accessible to all in a household that included two known gang members, one of whom she knew to have been the target of recent gun violence and the other of whom was charged with possession of two unregistered firearms. Moreover, MOORE stated to R/Sgt that two members of her household were minors at the time the warrant was served: one was sixteen years old and the other two (attachment 36, page 3). According to Department General Order 07-01. XVII.A.1, "Department Approved Weapons and Ammunition", sworn Department members are required to secure their duty weapon when it is not on their person (attachment 66); in this case, it is the conclusion of this investigation that Officer MOORE's weapon was not at all properly secured: based on the photos and the statements of the search team members, this weapon would have been easily accessible to a sixteen year old, as well as any other member of this household aside from the toddler.

As regards Allegation #5, that the accused was found to be in possession of printed CPD I-CLEAR records without any valid police purpose, this investigation has been unable to prove this allegation. I-CLEAR photo records bearing the names [REDACTED] were discovered in Officer MOORE's bedroom during the execution of this search warrant. Officer MOORE confirmed this to R/Sgt (attachment 36, page 6). Asked why she had these records in her residence, MOORE explained that when her house had been recently "shot up", her brother [REDACTED] told her that [REDACTED] were the offenders, so she ran their names and "was seeking to have them picked up for the shooting." An I-CLEAR Incident Search report showed that on 02 JUN 08, Officer MOORE's brother [REDACTED] made a police report for Aggravated Assault: Handgun, using the address of [REDACTED] and naming [REDACTED] as the offenders (attachment 63). Asked if her brother or [REDACTED] ever asked her to use her police authority in order to gather information about rival gang members, [REDACTED] said no.

#### **FINDINGS:**

These findings are based on the available evidence and the investigation conducted by R/Sgt.

**ACCUSED:** Police Officer Samantha MOORE #13134

**Allegation #1:** **EXONERATED.** While it is demonstrably true that the accused associated with a convicted felon in allowing her brother, [REDACTED] to live with her, the fact that he is her immediate family member is compelling enough to be exculpatory.

**Allegation #2:** **SUSTAINED.** On or about 26 MAR 09, at the location of [REDACTED] and during the execution of Search Warrant [REDACTED] contraband [REDACTED] was recovered from the residence of the accused, Officer Samantha MOORE #13134.

**Violation of Rule 2.** Any action or conduct which impedes the Department's efforts to achieve its policy and goals or brings discredit upon the Department in that on 26 MAR 09 at the location of [REDACTED] and during the execution of Search Warrant [REDACTED] contraband (suspect marijuana) was recovered from the residence of the accused, Officer Samantha MOORE #13134.

**Allegation #3:** **SUSTAINED.** On or about 26 MAR 09, at the location of [REDACTED] and during the execution of Search Warrant [REDACTED] two unregistered firearms were recovered from the residence of the accused, Officer Samantha MOORE #13134.

**Violation of Rule 2.** Any action or conduct which impedes the Department's efforts to achieve its policy and goals or brings discredit upon the Department in that on 26 MAR 09 at the location of [REDACTED] and during the execution of Search Warrant [REDACTED] two unregistered firearms were recovered from the residence of the accused, Officer Samantha MOORE #13134.

**Allegation #4:** **SUSTAINED.** On or about 26 MAR 09, at the location of [REDACTED] the accused, Officer Samantha MOORE #13134, while off duty, failed to properly secure her duty weapon, in violation of General Order 07-01.XVII.A.1.

**Violation of Rule 2.** Any action or conduct which impedes the Department's efforts to achieve its policy and goals or brings discredit upon the Department in that on 26 MAR 09 at the location of [REDACTED] the accused, Officer Samantha MOORE #13134, while off duty, failed to properly secure her duty weapon.

**Violation of Rule 10.** Inattention to duty, in that on 26 MAR 09 at the location of [REDACTED] the accused, Officer



Internal Affairs Division  
Confidential Investigations Section

25 OCT 2010  
Complaint Register Number 1025008

Samantha MOORE #13134, while off duty, failed to properly secure her duty weapon.

**Allegation #5:** **NOT SUSTAINED.** While it can be shown that the accused was in possession of CPD I-CLEAR records in her residence on 26 MAR 09, this investigation has not shown that the accused possessed these without any valid police purpose.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

After reviewing the complimentary and disciplinary history of the accused Police Officer Samantha MOORE #13134, it is recommended that she be **SEPARATED** from the Chicago Police Department.

**Date Initiated:** 26 MAR 09

**Date Completed:** 25 OCT 10

**Elapsed Time:** 577 days

[REDACTED]  
Sgt Michael S. CUMMINS #2058  
Confidential Investigations Section  
Internal Affairs Division

**APPROVED:** [REDACTED]

Commanding Officer  
Confidential Investigations Section  
Internal Affairs Division

Robert KLIMAS  
Commander  
Internal Affairs Division

# SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

## CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

LOG NO

1081894

TYPE

INFO

DATE OF REPORT

09-SEP-2016

INSTRUCTIONS: To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, NO AFFIDAVIT, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed Five (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

TO: ☐ CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR,  
INDEPENDENT POLICE REVIEW AUTHORIT

☒ CHIEF,  
BUREAU OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME	RANK	STAR NO	EMPLOYEE NO	UNIT ASSIGNED	UNIT DETAILED
FIEDLER, JAMES	9171	1989		121	

REFERENCE NOS.(LIST ALL RELATED C.L., C.B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS., ETC., PERTINENT OF THIS INVESTIGATION)

INCIDENT ADDRESS: 7 [REDACTED] MORGAN ST, CHICAGO, IL 60620	DATE / TIME 22-AUG-2015 11:08	BEAT: 612
---	-------------------------------	-----------

### ACCUSED

NAME	RANK	STAR NO	EMP NO	UNIT ASSIGNED	UNIT DETAILED	SEX/RACE	DOB	APPOINTED DATE	ON DUTY ?	SWORN ?
HAYES, TIMOTHY J	9161	7308	[REDACTED]	312	211	M / WHI	[REDACTED] 1966	13-APR-1998	YES	YES
ZATTAIR, NICK	9161	14902	[REDACTED]	312		M / API	[REDACTED] 1968	13-DEC-1993	YES	YES
BARTON, CHRISTA M	9161	13036	[REDACTED]	312		F / WHI	[REDACTED] 1982	05-DEC-2005	YES	YES

### REPORTING PARTY

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	/ U	/

### VICTIMS

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	978 / 38

### WITNESSES

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

### ALLEGATIONS

Note: Log "Type" remains classified as Info- Sworn Affidavit NOT on file.

It is alleged by the reporting party that on 22 Aug 2015 @1128hrs, [REDACTED] the accused arrested [REDACTED] without probable cause.

## SUMMARY

This investigation was initiated 18 Aug 2016 and assigned to the undersigned 22 Aug 2016. The case file was found to contain the Face Sheet and Civil Suit [REDACTED]. A Certified Letter was sent to the reporting party [REDACTED] which was delivered 29 Aug 2016. The undersigned attempted to contact the reporting party [REDACTED] via phone at the number listed on the Face Sheet [REDACTED] 23 Aug 2016 @ 1745hrs. An automated recording stated that the number has been disconnected and left no forwarding number. There is no signed Sworn Affidavit [REDACTED].

Reporting sergeant has made repeated attempts to contact the complainant and utilized all Department defined procedures to locate and interview the complainant. Reporting sergeant's repeated attempts to contact the complainant have been unsuccessful. Furthermore, the complainant has not contacted reporting sergeant. Due to the lack of contact by the complainant, the reporting sergeant has not obtained the required Sworn Affidavit for Complaint Log Investigation which is necessary to continue this investigation.

Reporting sergeant has also determined through the evaluation of the evidence presented in this investigation that there is not sufficient, independent and factual information to support the allegation(s) for a sworn affidavit override. The reporting sergeant recommends a finding of CLOSED - NO CONVERSION "NO AFFIDAVIT - NO CONTACT".

## ATTACHMENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ALLEGATIONS LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBER	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBERS(S) LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBER:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
None	None	None	7

## FINDINGS - RECOMMENDATIONS

### FINDINGS:

Accused: Officer Timothy HAYES #7308, Employee# [REDACTED] Unit#211  
Allegation: NO AFFIDAVIT-NO CONVERSION

Accused: Officer Christa BARTON #13036, Employee# [REDACTED] Unit#312  
Allegation: NO AFFIDAVIT-NO CONVERSION

Accused: Officer Nick ZATTAIR #14902, Employee# [REDACTED] Unit#312  
Allegation: NO AFFIDAVIT-NO CONVERSION

### RECOMMENDATION:

Case to be filed

DATE INITIATED  
(Date incident was received for investigation)

22-AUG-2016

DATE COMPLETED (Date of this report)

09-SEP-2016

ELAPSED TIME  
(Total time expressed in days)

18

Investigator will Initiate the Command Channel Review form by  
completing the Investigator's Section.

INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE  
[REDACTED]

# SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

LOG NO

1079557

TYPE

INFO

DATE OF REPORT

13-APR-2016

INSTRUCTIONS: To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, NO AFFIDAVIT, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed Five (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

TO:

CHIEF, BUREAU OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME	RANK	STAR NO	EMPLOYEE NO	UNIT ASSIGNED	UNIT DETAILED
SPELLMAN, JOHN	9171	981		121	

REFERENCE NOS. (LIST ALL RELATED C.L., C.B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS., ETC., PERTINENT OF THIS INVESTIGATION)

INCIDENT ADDRESS: [REDACTED]	DATE / TIME: 01 MAY 2010 00:05	BEAT: 331
------------------------------	--------------------------------	-----------

## ACCUSED

NAME	RANK	STAR NO	EMP NO	UNIT ASSIGNED	UNIT DETAILED	SEX/RACE	DOB	APPOINTED DATE	ON DUTY ?	SWORN ?
HAYES, TIMOTHY J	9161	7308	[REDACTED]	312	211	M / WHI		13-APR-1998	YES	YES
MC INERNEY, RAYMOND J	9171	2536	[REDACTED]	009	211	M / WHI		02-DEC-1991	YES	YES

## REPORTING PARTY

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

## VICTIMS

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

## WITNESSES

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, EMPLOYEE NOS, IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

## ALLEGATIONS

NOTE: Log type remains classified as Info - Sworn Affidavit NOT on file

ALLEGATION #1: It is alleged that on 12 June 2010 at appx 1450 hours at [REDACTED] the accused entered the apartment without a warrant and arrested [REDACTED] for possession of a weapon without probable cause.

## SUMMARY

Upon receiving Log No. 1079557 for investigation, the undersigned immediately sent Certified Letter 7010 1060 0002 2371 5151 to the reporting party [REDACTED] with all contact information. (att#5) A check of the United States Postal Service Track and Confirm website revealed that the certified letter was signed for on 21 March 2016. (att#6)

Civil Suit No. [REDACTED] filed by the reporting party, Attorney Steven BECKER on behalf of his client, [REDACTED] was reviewed by the reporting sergeant. (att#4)

R/Sgt attempted to contact the reporting party via telephone on 28 March 2016 and 06 April 2016 with negative results. Additionally, R/Sgt sent a certified letter which was signed for and has received no contact from the reporting party. (att#7)

Without the cooperation of the Reporting Party, and in absence of a signed Sworn Affidavit, this investigation cannot continue. It is therefore requested that this case be Closed -- No Conversion.

## ATTACHMENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ALLEGATIONS LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBER	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBERS(S) LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBER:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
			7

## FINDINGS - RECOMMENDATIONS

Accused: Police Officer Timothy HAYES, Star # 7308  
Employee # [REDACTED] Unit 312/211

Allegation #1: No Affidavit

Accused: Sergeant Raymond McInerney, Star # 2536  
Employee # [REDACTED] Unit 009/211

Allegation #1: No Affidavit

Recommendation: [REDACTED]

DATE INITIATED  
(Date incident was received for investigation)

11-MAR-2016

DATE COMPLETED (Date of this report)

13-APR-2016

ELAPSED TIME  
(Total time expressed in days)

33

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by  
completing the Investigator's Section.

INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE  
[REDACTED]

# SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

## CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

LOG NO  
1044151TYPE  
INFODATE OF REPORT  
20-MAY-2011

INSTRUCTIONS: To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, NO AFFIDAVIT, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed Five (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

TO: DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
ATTENTION: CHIEF, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME	RANK	STAR NO	EMPLOYEE NO	UNIT ASSIGNED	UNIT DETAILED
TALIAFERRO, CHRISTOPH	9161	14979		121	

REFERENCE NOS. (LIST ALL RELATED C.L., C.B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS., ETC., PERTINENT OF THIS INVESTIGATION)

INCIDENT ADDRESS: 3502 S. HALSTED ST, CHICAGO, IL 60609	DATE / TIME 25-JAN-2010 00:01	BEAT: 922
---	-------------------------------	-----------

### ACCUSED

NAME	RANK	STAR NO	EMP NO	UNIT ASSIGNED	UNIT DETAILED	SEX/RACE	DOB	APPOINTED DATE	ON DUTY ?	SWORN ?
HAYES, TIMOTHY J	9161	7308		193	211	M / WHI	1966	13-APR-1998	YES	YES
MESECK, JACK J	9161	16664		007	211	M / WHI	1969	16-DEC-1991	YES	YES
PUTROW, MICHAEL G	9161	9758		193	211	M / WHI	1976	15-NOV-1999	YES	YES

### REPORTING PARTY

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
					Unk / Unk

### VICTIMS

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	AGE
				988 / 22

### WITNESSES

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
------	----------	------------	-----------	------------	-----------

\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

### ALLEGATIONS

NOTE: Complaint Log "Type" remains classified as Info - sworn affidavit NOT on file.

The complainant [REDACTED] alleges that on 25 January 2010, at approximately 0050 hours, at [REDACTED] the accused officer:

(1) Falsely arrested the victim [REDACTED]

## SUMMARY

This complaint Log number is the result of a civil complaint [REDACTED] initiated by the victim, [REDACTED] Attachment #11).

On 25 March 2010, the undersigned spoke with the complainant [REDACTED] regarding this investigation. After explaining the investigative procedure and the mandates of 50 ILCS 725/3.8 to [REDACTED] informed the undersigned that she was the legal representative for the victim [REDACTED] and that neither she nor her client would provide the undersigned with a statement or sign an affidavit (Attachment #9).

The undersigned sent a certified letter, which included a request that [REDACTED] contact the undersigned regarding this investigation (Attachment #7).

The undersigned received the Domestic Return Receipt from the United States Postal Service, indicating that [REDACTED] was in receipt of the certified letter (Attachment #8).

As of this reporting date [REDACTED] has refused to cooperate in the conduct of this investigation in that [REDACTED] has refused to allow the undersigned to interview [REDACTED] regarding their allegations. Furthermore [REDACTED] has failed to sign a sworn affidavit as required by 50 ILCS 725/3.8, attesting to the truthfulness of his allegations (Attachment #3). Therefore, absent cooperation and no further objective evidence, this investigation cannot proceed and is "Closed No Conversion."

## ATTACHMENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ALLEGATIONS LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBER	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBERS(S) LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBER:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
0	0	0	11

## FINDINGS - RECOMMENDATIONS

Accused Officer: Police Officer Timothy J. HAYES #7308, detailed to unit 211

Allegation #1: No Affidavit

Recommendation: [REDACTED]

Accused Officer: Police Officer Jack J. MESECK #16664, detailed to unit 211

Allegation #1: No Affidavit

Recommendation: [REDACTED]

Accused Officer: Police Officer Michael G. PUTROW #9758, detailed to unit 211

Allegation #1: No Affidavit

Recommendation: [REDACTED]

DATE INITIATED  
(Date incident was received for investigation)

23-MAR-2011

DATE COMPLETED (Date of this report)

20-MAY-2011

ELAPSED TIME  
(Total time expressed in days)

58

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.

INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE  
[REDACTED]

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST**  
**CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**LOG NO  
1037054TYPE  
INFODATE OF REPORT  
08-JUL-2010

INSTRUCTIONS: To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, NO AFFIDAVIT, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed Five (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

TO: DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
ATTENTION: CHIEF, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME	RANK	STAR NO	EMPLOYEE NO	UNIT ASSIGNED	UNIT DETAILED
HIGHTOWER, RENELL	9161	9989		121	

REFERENCE NOS.(LIST ALL RELATED C.L., C.B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS., ETC., PERTINENT OF THIS INVESTIGATION)

INCIDENT ADDRESS	DATE / TIME:07-SEP-2007 23:09	BEAT: 824
------------------	-------------------------------	-----------

**ACCUSED**

NAME	RANK	STAR NO	EMP NO	UNIT ASSIGNED	UNIT DETAILED	SEX/RACE	DOB	APPOINTED DATE	ON DUTY ?	SWORN ?
DOWLING, JOHN M	9161	12264		007		M / WHI	-1981	26-APR-2004	YES	YES
HAYES, TIMOTHY J	9161	7308		009	211	M / WHI	-1966	13-APR-1998	YES	YES

**REPORTING PARTY**

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
				/	/

**VICTIMS**

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
				M / WWH	-1980 / 30

**WITNESSES**

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
------	----------	------------	-----------	------------	-----------

\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAY/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

**ALLEGATIONS**

Type= Info./ No affidavit on file.

In Civil Suit [REDACTED] the complainant [REDACTED], alleges that on 07 September 2007 at 2316 hours, at [REDACTED] her client [REDACTED] was falsely arrested for U.U.W.



## SUMMARY

Investigation: In Civil Suit [REDACTED] the complainant, [REDACTED] alleges that on 07 September 2007 at 2316 hours, at [REDACTED] was falsely arrested for UUW. On 28 June 2010 the undersigned mailed a certified letter to the complainant in attempt to interview her client regarding the above mentioned allegation. When the undersigned failed to hear from the complainant on 07 July 2010 at approximately 1305 hours the undersigned called the complainant at [REDACTED] Upon calling the number the undersigned spoke to [REDACTED] In summary, the undersigned explained the affidavit process in its entirety and inquired if the complainant would allow her client to sign an affidavit for the IAD investigation. The complainant related that she understood the affidavit process but declined to have her client sign an affidavit and give a statement at this time.

The undersigned acquired the Arrest and Case Reports relative to [REDACTED] arrest on 07 September 2007. The arrest report reflects that [REDACTED] was placed into custody following a brief foot chase after he was observed holding a handgun (Inventory No. [REDACTED]) while standing on the corner of [REDACTED]. The report further reflects that [REDACTED] fled the scene on foot and attempted to toss said weapon under a parked [REDACTED] [REDACTED] was apprehended [REDACTED] report reflects the same. The undersigned obtained the Criminal History Report [REDACTED] the report reflects that [REDACTED] (a convicted felon) was found not guilty of the criminal charges relative to his arrest.

A sworn affidavit was not obtained in this investigation. Due to the lack of cooperation and no further objective evidence the investigation can not proceed. The undersigned is recommending that this case be Closed-No Conversion.

## ATTACHMENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ALLEGATIONS LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBER	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBERS(S) LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBER:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
0	0	0	8

## FINDINGS - RECOMMENDATIONS

Accused #1: Police Officer John DOWLING #12264, Unit 007  
Allegation: No-Affidavit  
Recommendation: [REDACTED]

Accused #2: Police Officer Timothy HAYES #7308, Assigned Unit 009, Detailed Unit 211  
Allegation: No-Affidavit  
Recommendation: [REDACTED]

DATE INITIATED  
(Date Incident was received for investigation)

14-JUN-2010

DATE COMPLETED (Date of this report)

08-JUL-2010

ELAPSED TIME  
(Total time expressed in days)

24

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by  
completing the Investigator's Section.

INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE  
[REDACTED]

# SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

## CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

COMPLAINT LOG NO.  
1010628

TYPE  
INFO

DATE OF REPORT (Day-Mo.-Yr.)  
10 December 2007

INSTRUCTIONS: To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either **EXONERATED**, **UNFOUNDED**, **NOT SUSTAINED**, or in **SUSTAINED** cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed **FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION**. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

☒ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME  
Zoila MULLINGS

RANK  
PA

STAR NO.  
3231

EMPLOYEE NO.

UNIT ASSIGN.  
121

UNIT DETAILED

REFERENCE NOS. (LIST ALL RELATED C.L., C. B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS., ETC., PERTINENT TO THIS INVESTIGATION)

ADDRESS OF INCIDENT

DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME

17 November 2005

1855 hrs

BEAT OF INCIDENT

725

ACCUSED

NAME	RANK	STAR NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.	UNIT DETAILED
1. Keith B. SINKS	PO	18723		007	
2. John M. DOWLING		12264		007	
3.					

SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT	DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)	
1. M/W	78	28 Oct 02	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN
2. M/W	81	26 Apr 04	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN
3.			<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN

IF APPLIES, DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED	CHARGES	COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE
1.			
2.			
3.			

COMPLAINANT

NAME	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE

VICTIMS

NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE

WITNESSES

NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

\*\*IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

Complaint Log "Type" remains classified as Info - Sworn Affidavit NOT on file.

ALLEGATIONS

The complainant alleges that on 17 November 2005, at 1855 hours, a [REDACTED], the accused arrested the victim [REDACTED] for a warrant knowing that he was not the wanted subject.

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s). In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

INVESTIGATION:

In summary, the undersigned attempted to interview the complainant's client [REDACTED] regarding the allegation without success. Attempts were made via Certified Letter, the complainant did not provide a telephone number [REDACTED] this Complaint Log. The Certified Letter was sent and was returned to the undersigned "Attempted not known." As a result the Sworn Affidavit for Complaint Register was not obtained.

Based on the failure of the complainant to cooperate with this investigation and insufficient information, undersigned has determined that the allegation is unfounded.

SUMMARY

ATTACH- MENTS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
	1	None	None	7

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation. Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for --- days (not to exceed 5 days).

FINDINGS - RECOMMENDATIONS

FINDINGS:

ACCUSED #1: Keith B. SINKS, PO., Star 18723, 007 District  
Allegation: [REDACTED]

ACCUSED #1: John M. DOWLING, PO., Star 12264, 007 District  
Allegation: [REDACTED]

RECOMMENDATIONS:

REVIEWED BY

[REDACTED]

FEB 11 2008

DATE INITIATED (Date complaint was received for investigation)	DATE COMPLETED (Date of this report)	ELAPSED TIME (Total time expressed in days)
6 November 2007	10 December 2007	34 days
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.	INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE: [REDACTED]	

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF PAPER TO ATTACH ANY ITEM.

# SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

## CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

LOG NO  
1026585TYPE  
CRDATE OF REPORT  
18-NOV-2010

INSTRUCTIONS: To be used in all cases that are to be classified as EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, NO AFFIDAVIT, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed Five (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

**TO:** DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
**ATTENTION:** CHIEF, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME	RANK	STAR NO	EMPLOYEE NO	UNIT ASSIGNED	UNIT DETAILED
DEL VALLE, NOEL	9174	7485		121	

REFERENCE NOS. (LIST ALL RELATED C.L., C.B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS., ETC., PERTINENT OF THIS INVESTIGATION)

<b>INCIDENT ADDRESS:</b>		<b>DATE / TIME:</b> 12-DEC-2008 12:12	<b>BEAT:</b> 935
--------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	------------------

### ACCUSED

NAME	RANK	STAR NO	EMP NO	UNIT ASSIGNED	UNIT DETAILED	SEX/RACE	DOB	APPOINTED DATE	ON DUTY?	SWORN ?
KEMPS, ANDREW D	9161	16145		009		M / WHI	1978	26-APR-2004	YES	YES
CAHILL, BRIAN J	9161	19478		009		M / WHI	1979	29-NOV-2004	YES	YES

### REPORTING PARTY

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
					964 / 46

### VICTIMS

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
					964 / 46

### WITNESSES

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
					1967 / 43
					976 / 34
					1979 / 31
					1971 / 39

\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

# SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

## CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

LOG NO  
1026585

TYPE  
CR

DATE OF REPORT  
18-NOV-2010

INSTRUCTIONS: To be used in all cases that are to be classified as EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, NO AFFIDAVIT, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed Five (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

### ALLEGATIONS

Note: Complaint Log type is classified as CR – Sworn Affidavit on file.

The complainant alleges on 12 December 08, about 1200 hours, at [REDACTED] the accused officers:

1. Stopped him on the street without justification.
2. Took his keys and entered his residence without a warrant or justification.
3. Searched his residence without a warrant or justification.
4. Falsely arrested him and charged him with Possession of Cannabis.
5. Removed \$240.00 USC from his person and only inventoried \$120.00 USC.
6. The complainant further alleges on various dates and times within an unknown period, various officers assigned to the 009<sup>th</sup> District have repeatedly harassed him.

## SUMMARY

The undersigned was re-assigned this matter for investigation after previously being investigated and closed in the 009<sup>th</sup> District. The complainant requested this matter be re-opened after stating he was not contacted by 009<sup>th</sup> District investigators. On 10 May 10, the undersigned met with the complainant. After obtaining a signed Sworn Affidavit form, he related on the date/time in question, he was in the vicinity of [REDACTED] to check on his property. As he walked towards his property, the accused pulled up behind him. He said the officers detained him, searched him and put him in the back of their unmarked squad car. The complainant said there was another person in the back of the vehicle: a male black individual who is known around the neighborhood as "the [REDACTED]". The officers kept his keys and used them to gain access to his property, which they searched [REDACTED] went on to say as he sat in the car, he observed two more unmarked vehicles arrive at his address. When asked, he was unable to provide descriptions or vehicle information, other than they were plainclothes officers. He went on to say two of these officers went into his residence, while the other officers stayed in their vehicle. About 5 minutes later, the second set of officers left his residence, and the two vehicles left.

The complainant said about fifteen minutes later, the accused exited his residence and went to search his tenant's vehicles, which were parked in the back yard. He said one vehicle belonged to tenant [REDACTED] and two other vehicles belonged to tenant [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] said the accused searched these vehicles for about 15 minutes, and then came back to their vehicle. The complainant said the accused drove him and [REDACTED] to an alley behind a school on the [REDACTED] where they let "the [REDACTED]". As this individual left, the accused officers told the complainant he was getting [REDACTED]. He was then taken to the police station where he was processed. [REDACTED] went on to say the case against him was thrown out in March of 2009.

CONTINUED ON PAGE TWO

## ATTACHMENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ALLEGATIONS LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBER	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBERS(S) LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBER:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
8, 15	25-28	0	28

## FINDINGS - RECOMMENDATIONS

Accused #1: P.O. Andrew Kemps #16145, Unit 009

Allegation #1: NOT SUSTAINED  
Allegation #2: NOT SUSTAINED  
Allegation #3: NOT SUSTAINED  
Allegation #4: NOT SUSTAINED  
Allegation #5: NOT SUSTAINED

Accused #2: P.O. Brian Cahill #19478, Unit 009

Allegation #1: NOT SUSTAINED  
Allegation #2: NOT SUSTAINED  
Allegation #3: NOT SUSTAINED  
Allegation #4: NOT SUSTAINED  
Allegation #5: NOT SUSTAINED

Accused #3: Unknown  
Allegation #6: NOT SUSTAINED

Recommendation for Disciplinary Action:

[REDACTED]

DATE INITIATED  
(Date incident was received for investigation)

09-APR-2010

DATE COMPLETED (Date of this report)

18-NOV-2010

ELAPSED TIME  
(Total time expressed in days)

223

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by  
Completing the Investigator's Section.

INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE [REDACTED]

**INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION  
General Investigations Section**

**CL #1026585**

**INVESTIGATION CONTINUED:**

The complainant went on to say since 1997, he has been harassed by various officers that are assigned to the 009<sup>th</sup> District. He said the harassment consists of being stopped, searched and questioned. When asked, [REDACTED] was unable to provide names, star numbers, or exact dates, times and locations.

Research of PCAD reports, Attendance and Assignment records, and the complainant's arrest report on the day in question identified two witness officers in addition to the accused arresting officers. The witness officers were served electronically and witness reports were requested. Both officers stated in essence they do not recall any of the circumstances regarding the allegations made by [REDACTED]

The accused officers were served electronically and reports were requested. Both officers denied the allegations made against them.

Based on the available evidence, the undersigned was unable to prove/disprove the allegations against the two known officers. Additionally, the complainant was unable to provide any information to identify any officer relative to his harassment allegation. It is therefore this matter be classified as **NOT SUSTAINED**.

**CL #1026585**

# SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

## CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

LOG NO  
1021469TYPE  
CRDATE OF REPORT  
29-JUN-2009

INSTRUCTIONS: To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, NO AFFIDAVIT, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed Five (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

TO: DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
ATTENTION: CHIEF, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME	RANK	STAR NO	EMPLOYEE NO	UNIT ASSIGNED	UNIT DETAILED
SUTTLE, FLORA	9174	10566		121	

REFERENCE NOS. (LIST ALL RELATED C.L., C.B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS., ETC., PERTINENT OF THIS INVESTIGATION)

INCIDENT ADDRESS	DATE / TIME: 17-JUN-2007 06:06	BEAT: 913
------------------	--------------------------------	-----------

### ACCUSED

NAME	RANK	STAR NO	EMP NO	UNIT ASSIGNED	UNIT DETAILED	SEX/RACE	DOB	APPOINTED DATE	ON DUTY ?	SWORN ?
DARLING, MATTHEW J	9161	12393		009		M / WHI		25-OCT-2004	YES	YES
DOSKOCZ, JERRY D	9161	6573		009		M / WHI		25-MAR-2002	YES	YES
FOSTER, MARK S	9161	16968		009		M / WHI		27-SEP-2004	YES	YES
KERO, ROBERT A	9173	506		009		M / WHI		14-JUN-1982	YES	YES
ROSALES, TOMAS M	9161	14583		009		M / S		28-AUG-2006	YES	YES
TANTILLO, TIMOTHY V	9161	15046		009		M / WHI		21-FEB-2006	YES	YES
CARRANZA, AARON R	9161	13693		009		M / S		29-NOV-2004	YES	YES
MURPHY, ERIN L	9161	16999		009		F / WHI		28-MAY-2002	YES	YES
TENCZA, DAVID E	9161	9203		009		M / WHI		08-MAR-1999	YES	YES

### REPORTING PARTY

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
					/

### VICTIMS

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
					1955 / 54

### WITNESSES

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
					/

\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.



# SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

## CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

LOG NO

1021469

TYPE

CR

DATE OF REPORT

29-JUN-2009

INSTRUCTIONS: To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, NO AFFIDAVIT, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed Five (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

### ALLEGATIONS

NOTE: Complaint Log "Type" classified as CR sworn affidavit on file.

#1 The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 17 June 2007 at 0645 hours, at [REDACTED] Police Officer Matthew DARLING, Star 12393, 009th District searched his house without a search warrant.

#2 The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 17 June 2007 at 0645 hours, at [REDACTED] Police Officer Matthew DARLING, Star 12393, 009th District, seized thirteen guns without probable cause.

See page three for additional allegations.

## SUMMARY

See pages four through seven.

## ATTACHMENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ALLEGATIONS LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBER	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBERS(S) LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBER:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
0	8, 9, 13, 16, 18, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33 & 35	0	35

## FINDINGS - RECOMMENDATIONS

See pages seven and eight.

DATE INITIATED  
(Date incident was received for investigation)

17-NOV-2008

DATE COMPLETED (Date of this report)

29-JUN-2009

ELAPSED TIME  
(Total time expressed in days)

224

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by  
completing the Investigator's Section.

INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE

ALLEGATIONS CONTINUED:

#3 The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 17 June 2007 at 0645 hours, at [REDACTED] Police Officer Jerry DOSKOZ, Star 6573, 009<sup>th</sup> District searched his house without a search warrant.

#4 The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 17 June 2007 at 0645 hours, at [REDACTED] Police Officer Jerry DOSKOZ, Star 6573, 009<sup>th</sup> District, seized thirteen guns without probable cause.

#5 The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 17 June 2007 at 0645 hours, at [REDACTED] Police Officer Mark FOSTER, Star 16968, 009<sup>th</sup> District searched his house without a search warrant.

#6 The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 17 June 2007 at 0645 hours, at [REDACTED] Police Officer Mark FOSTER, Star 16968, 009<sup>th</sup> District, seized thirteen guns without probable cause.

#7 The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 17 June 2007 at 0645 hours, at [REDACTED] Lieutenant Robert KERO, Star 506, 009<sup>th</sup> District searched his house without a search warrant.

#8 The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 17 June 2007 at 0645 hours, at [REDACTED] Lieutenant Robert KERO, Star 506, 009<sup>th</sup> District, seized thirteen guns without probable cause.

#9 The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 17 June 2007 at 0645 hours, at [REDACTED] Tomas ROSALES, Star 14583, 009<sup>th</sup> District searched his house without a search warrant.

#10 The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 17 June 2007 at 0645 hours, at [REDACTED] Police Officer Tomas ROSALES, Star 14583, 009<sup>th</sup> District, seized thirteen guns without probable cause.

#11 The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 17 June 2007 at 0645 hours, at [REDACTED] Police Officer Timothy TANTILLO, Star 5046, 009<sup>th</sup> District searched his house without a search warrant.

#12 The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 17 June 2007 at 0645 hours, at [REDACTED] Police Officer Timothy TANTILLO, Star 5046, 009<sup>th</sup> District, seized thirteen guns without probable cause.

ALLEGATIONS CONTINUED:

#13 The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 17 June 2007 at 0645 hours, at [REDACTED] Police Officer Aaron CARRANZA, Star 13693, 009<sup>th</sup> District searched his house without a search warrant.

#14 The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 17 June 2007 at 0645 hours, a [REDACTED] Police Officer Aaron CARRANZA, Star 13693, 009<sup>th</sup> District, seized thirteen guns without probable cause.

#15 The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 17 June 2007 at 0645 hours, at [REDACTED] Police Officer Erin MURPHY, Star 16999, 009<sup>th</sup> District searched his house without a search warrant.

#16 The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 17 June 2007 at 0645 hours, at [REDACTED] Police Officer Erin MURPHY, Star 16999, 009<sup>th</sup> District, seized thirteen guns without probable cause.

#17 The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 17 June 2007 at 0645 hours, a [REDACTED] Police Officer David TENCZA, Star 9203, 009<sup>th</sup> District searched his house without a search warrant.

#18 The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 17 June 2007 at 0645 hours, at [REDACTED] Police Officer David TENCZA, Star 9203, 009<sup>th</sup> District, seized thirteen guns without probable cause.

INVESTIGATION:

The undersigned received this case for investigation on 17 November 2008. Upon receipt of this case the undersigned called the complainant Attorney David PEDRAZA and requested permission to interview his client [REDACTED] Attorney PEDRAZA agreed to allow the undersigned to interview [REDACTED] and stated that his client would call the undersigned to schedule an appointment to sign a sworn affidavit and give a statement.

[REDACTED] signed a sworn affidavit prior to giving a statement. [REDACTED] stated, "I was asleep; my wife woke me up because there was a lot of noise outside. I heard someone pounding on the front door and voices in front of the house. I went to the front window; I live on the second floor. I saw a policeman standing out front and I asked what was going on and he asked me if there was a seventeen year old who lived in the house. I told him no. Then I heard pounding on the front door to my apartment.

ALLEGATIONS CONTINUED:

The police came into the house through my landlord's part of the house then came up through the passageway to my door. I asked my wife to answer the door; I thought it was my landlord. My wife answered the door, she opened the door and a police officer shined a flashlight in her face. My wife backed away from the door and called me. When I went where my wife was a police officer walked in and asked do a seventeen year old live here? I told him no. He flashed the light in the corner in the hallway of my house and he asked, "What's that?" I said it's an air rifle. I picked it up to show it to him, and he told me to put it back and said, 'It's making me nervous' He got on his radio and called he said, 'We have probable cause to search.' The officer was already in the house. The other officers all came upstairs and came into my house.

The officer who was there first said, 'I know there's guns up here.' I said sure, registered ones. He asked to see the guns and I told him he was invading my privacy. He insisted on seeing the guns so I told him I'm going to show you my registrations. I showed him my gun registrations. He asked if I had a firearms card. I showed him my FOID Card and my driver's license. He insisted on seeing the guns I told him that he was violating my privacy and my second amendment right to bear arms. He asked me if I was a NRA member and I said I was.

The officers were searching my house I finally showed them my eighteen guns. The officer said to me you have registration for five we have to take the other thirteen. I told him no you're not taking anything. The officer said, 'We can take the thirteen and destroy them and you don't have to worry about getting arrested.' I told them that's not going to happen. He said, 'For all I know, you could be selling these to gang bangers.' I said, 'I'm going with them, I want an inventory of everything you confiscate.' Then they took me and my thirteen guns." [REDACTED] stated that the officers confiscated his guns after he opened the two gun safes and the officers took them out of the safes.

The undersigned attempted to contact [REDACTED] whom he stated witnessed the incident. [REDACTED] related to the undersigned that he would have to get permission from his attorney before [REDACTED] could be interviewed. The undersigned mailed [REDACTED] certified letter under article number [REDACTED] [REDACTED] which was returned to sender.

The accused officers responded to the allegations by submitting a written report. Accused #9, Officer TENCZA reported that that on the date and time in question he observed a male Hispanic entering the gated property at [REDACTED] Officer TENCZA reported that when he attempted to stop the man he fled on foot while holding a handgun. A foot pursuit was initiated and called in over the air the offender escaped. Officer TENCZA returned to the above address to see if the offender lived at the address.

INVESTIGATION CONTINUED:

According to Officer TENCZA'S report, he knocked on [REDACTED], she opened the door and he observed a rifle about five feet away in plain view. Officer TENCZA reported that he asked [REDACTED] to back up, he entered the residence and he recovered the rifle for his safety.

Officer TENCZA also reported that [REDACTED] were asked if they had other weapons in their home and they replied that they had several. The report indicated that they were willing to show the officers the weapons as well as the proper registration for each gun. Officer TENCZA reported [REDACTED] opened the gun lockers where thirteen guns were kept. None of those weapons were registered. [REDACTED] was arrested and the thirteen guns were inventoried. The report documented that the registered guns were left at [REDACTED]

Accused #1, Officer DARLING submitted a report in which he stated that he arrived after Officer TENCZA observed the first firearm in plain view and after all other firearms were produced by [REDACTED] Officer DARLING reported that he assisted in carrying some of the recovered firearms from the residence and also assisted in inventorying them in the 009<sup>th</sup> District.

Accused #3, Officer FOSTER reported that he accompanied [REDACTED] as he showed the officers where his firearms were kept then he recovered them and inventoried them.

Accused #7, Officer CARRANZA, reported that once a rifle had been observed in [REDACTED] [REDACTED] he assisted beat 931 for officer safety. Officer CARRANZA also reported that he assisted in carrying some of the thirteen guns from the residence.

Accused #4, Lieutenant KERO reported that his only involvement in the incident was the initial approval of probable cause after [REDACTED] Arrest Report was submitted.

Accused #5, Officer ROLASES submitted a report in which he denied entering the residence, searching the residence or removing any items from the residence.

Accused #2, Officer DOSKOCZ submitted a report in which he stated that he was not involved in the search of the offender's house but he did assist in the transportation of the offender's guns into the 009<sup>th</sup> District.

Accused #6, Officer TANTILLO, submitted a report and stated that [REDACTED] gave permission to enter the residence. Officer TANTILLO reported that he did not search the complainant's house but he did secure it.

INVESTIGATION CONTINUED:

Accused #8, Officer MURPHY responded to the allegation by report in which she stated she believes that [REDACTED] gave permission to search the house. She also reported that she assisted the other officers on the scene with recovering the weapons.

The evidence reveals that one of the accused became involved in a foot pursuit involving a man with a gun. The incident led him and the assisting officers to the complainant's residence. The complainant's wife heard commotion on the street and alerted her husband who was in the bedroom. They heard a knock on their door [REDACTED] instructed his wife to open the door. Officer TENCZA observed a rifle in plain view after the door was opened; he asked [REDACTED] to step back, she complied he entered the house and recovered the rifle for his own safety. When the [REDACTED] were asked if they had other weapons they informed the officers that they had several weapons in their home and showed them the weapons and the registration for a few. Thirteen guns were not registered. [REDACTED] was arrested and the guns were inventoried. Based on the evidence in this investigation this case is being closed and the allegations are classified as follows.

FINDINGS:

Accused #1:	Police Officer Matthew DARLING, Star 12393, 009 <sup>th</sup> District
Allegation #1:	UNFOUNDED
Allegation #2:	EXONERATED
Accused #2:	Police Officer Jerry DOSKOCZ, Star 6573, 009 <sup>th</sup> District
Allegation #3:	UNFOUNDED
Allegation #4:	EXONERATED
Accused #3:	Police Officer Mark FOSTER, Star 16968, 009 <sup>th</sup> District
Allegation #5:	EXONERATED
Allegation #6:	EXONERATED
Accused #4:	Lieutenant Robert KERO, Star 506, 009 <sup>th</sup> District
Allegation #7:	UNFOUNDED
Allegation #8:	UNFOUNDED
Accused #5:	Police Officer Tomas ROSALES, Star 14583, 009 <sup>th</sup> District
Allegation #9:	UNFOUNDED
Allegation #10:	UNFOUNDED

FINDINGS CONTINUED:

Accused #6: Police Officer Timothy TANTILLO, Star 15046, 009<sup>th</sup>  
District

Allegation #11: UNFOUNDED

Allegation #12: UNFOUNDED

Accused #7: Police Officer Aaron CARRANZA, Star 13693, 009<sup>th</sup>  
District

Allegation #13: EXONERATED

Allegation #14: EXONERATED

Accused #8: Police Officer Erin MURPHY, Star 16999, 009<sup>th</sup> District

Allegation #15: EXONERATED

Allegation #16: UNFOUNDED

Accused #9: Police Officer David TENCZA, Star 9203, 009<sup>th</sup> District

Allegation #17: EXONERATED

Allegation #18: EXONERATED

RECOMMENDATIONS:





SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

293752

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)  
15 June 04  
16 Aug 04 - T

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE  
ATTENTION ☒ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME McMULLEN, Lakeisha		RANK Inv.	STAR NO. 296	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 113
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT [REDACTED]		DATE OF INCIDENT 10 Nov 03 0315		BEAT OF INCIDENT 2213	LOCATION CODE 17	
ACCUSED	NAME 1. QUINN, Frank		RANK P.O.	STAR NO. 15331	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 022
	2. BERNSON, Joshua		P.O.	15360	[REDACTED]	009
	SEX/RACE 1. M/W	D.O.B. [REDACTED] 69	DATE OF APPOINTMENT 02 Dec 91		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN
	2. M/W	[REDACTED] 79	30 Sep 02		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN
IF APPLICABLE - DATE OF INCIDENT		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE	
1.						
2.						
COMPLAINANTS	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	77
VICTIMS	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
WITNESSES	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	77

[ ] SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS  
On 12 November 2003, at 0730 hours, the complainant [REDACTED] registered a complaint with OPS Intake Aide Yolanda Toppins, #315898. [REDACTED] alleged that on 10 November 2003, at 0315 hours, at [REDACTED], Officer Frank Quinn, #15331, and Officer Joshua Bernson, #15360; 1) curbed her vehicle without justification, 2) failed to give her their star numbers upon request and 3) searched her vehicle without permission or justification. [REDACTED] further alleged that on the aforementioned date, time and location, Officer Quinn; 4) verbally abused her, 5) physically abused her and 6) handcuffed her too tightly.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant  
02 Tavern/Liquor Store  
03 Other Business Establishment  
04 Police Building  
05 Lockup Facility  
06 Police Maintenance Facility  
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility  
08 Other Police Property  
09 Police Communications System  
10 Court Room

11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility  
12 Park District Property  
13 Airport  
14 Public Property - Other  
15 Other Private Premise  
16 Expressway/Interstate System  
17 Public Way - Other  
18 Waterway, Incl. Park District  
19 Private Residence

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal  
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence  
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized  
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence  
05 Injured, Hospitalized  
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence  
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid  
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence  
09 Deceased  
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\*\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegations(s). In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member=s Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

This case concerns a citizen who was arrested during a traffic stop.

Obtained an unauthenticated interview of the complainant, [REDACTED] stated that her girlfriend, [REDACTED] was driving [REDACTED] vehicle and [REDACTED] was sitting on the passenger side. [REDACTED] related that she has a "gay flag" decal on the rear of her vehicle. [REDACTED] stated that two male white uniformed officers curbed her vehicle because of her race and sexual orientation. [REDACTED] stated that the officer with the name tag "Quinn," now known as Officer Frank Quinn, #15331, approached the driver's side of her vehicle and requested to see [REDACTED] driver's license and insurance card. Without reason, Officer Quinn opened the vehicle door on the driver's side, grabbed [REDACTED] left arm and pulled her out of the vehicle. [REDACTED] related that Officer Quinn pushed [REDACTED] forward against the vehicle in a "rough manner" and bent her arms behind her back. [REDACTED] stated that the second Officer with the name tag, "Bernson," now known as Officer Joshua Bernson, #15360, approached the passenger side door and requested that she exit the vehicle. [REDACTED] stated that after she exited her vehicle Officer Bernson grabbed her by her right arm and walked her to his squad car. [REDACTED] continued that she requested the accused officers' badge numbers but the only stated that it would be on her arrest report.

[REDACTED] continued that without reason, Officer Quinn "suddenly" grabbed her by her right arm and "shoved" her against a squad car in a "rough manner." [REDACTED] stated that she was then handcuffed, searched and placed inside the squad car. As [REDACTED] was sitting in the back of the squad car another squad car arrived and left the scene. [REDACTED] stated that the accused officers then searched her vehicle without permission or justification. While sitting in the squad car Officer Quinn stated, "I don't know who the fuck told you people that we have to tell you why we pulled you over or why you are being arrested. It's a courtesy to give you that information." [REDACTED] also related that Officer Quinn stated, "What the fuck are you looking at?" [REDACTED] continued that she complained to Officer Quinn that the handcuffs were too tight but Officer Quinn never loosened the handcuffs. [REDACTED] stated that she received medical treatment at Midwestern Regional Hospital in Zion, Illinois, on 11 November 2003, and complained of soreness to shoulders and bruises to her right arm and wrist as result of this incident. [REDACTED] stated that she did not fight, threaten or resist arrest and was not under the influence of alcohol or narcotics. (Att. #2)

Continued on Page 3

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-  
SUPPORTING ALLEGATION  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:  
1, 2, 14, 20, 21, 23

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-  
SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S)  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:  
3, 3A, 4, 30, 31, 34

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:  
8,

TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS  
SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:  
37

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation. Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for .... days (not to exceed 5 days).

#### FINDINGS:

Accused #1  
Officer Frank Quinn, #15331  
Allegations #1, 3, 4 & 5  
Allegations #2 & 6

Accused #2  
Officer Joshua Bernson, #15360  
Allegations #1 & 3  
Allegation #2

O.P.S. Supervisor

FINDINGS-RECOMMENDATIONS

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS  
RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)

13 November 2003

DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)

15 June 04

ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME, EXPRESSED IN DAYS)

215 days

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review  
for by completing the Investigator=s Section.

IF NECESSARY, USE A [REDACTED] EM.

Obtained an unauthenticated telephone interview with the witness, [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated that she was driving [REDACTED] as [REDACTED] sat on the passenger side. [REDACTED] related that Officer Quinn and Officer Bernson curbed [REDACTED] vehicle and requested [REDACTED] driver's license and insurance card. [REDACTED] related that as she was reaching for her driver's license, Officer Quinn opened the driver's door, grabbed her left arm, pulled her out of the vehicle and placed her against the hood of the vehicle. [REDACTED] stated that as Officer Quinn handcuffed her, [REDACTED] jumped out of the vehicle on the passenger side and Officer Bernson attempted to place her under control. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] kept moving away from Officer Bernson and they began to struggle. [REDACTED] related that Officer Quinn handcuffed her and placed her in the back of the squad car. Officer Quinn then assisted Officer Bernson with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] further related that Officers Quinn and Bernson kept grabbing [REDACTED] arms to try and handcuff her. [REDACTED] stated that when [REDACTED] stopped moving, the officers were able to handcuff her.

[REDACTED] related that the accused officers searched [REDACTED] without permission or justification. [REDACTED] also related that while she and [REDACTED] were sitting in the back of the squad car, another squad car came to the scene and left. [REDACTED] continued that she heard [REDACTED] request the badge numbers and names of the accused officers. [REDACTED] stated that the accused officers stated that their names and star numbers would be on the arrest report. [REDACTED] related that she heard [REDACTED] tell Officer Quinn that the handcuffs were too tight but Officer Quinn ignored [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated that she never heard any of the officers use any profanities at any time. [REDACTED] related that she did observe swelling to [REDACTED] arm after the incident. (Att. #21)

Obtained the civil suit [REDACTED] filed by Attorney Standish Willis on behalf of [REDACTED]. In the civil suit, [REDACTED] alleged that Officer Quinn grabbed her by her arm from her vehicle to the squad car, bent her right arm back and maliciously beat her, including slamming her against the side of the squad car. (Att. #23)

Obtained arrest report, which indicate that [REDACTED] was charged with Disorderly Conduct. The report also indicates that during a traffic stop [REDACTED] exited the vehicle and began yelling at the arresting officers. The report further indicates that [REDACTED] resisted arrest. (Att. #3)

Obtained lock-up screening log, which indicated that [REDACTED] did not have any obvious pain or injury. (Att. #3A)

CB Photographs of [REDACTED] do not depict any injuries. (Att. #8)

A canvass of the area was conducted and did not produce any witnesses.

Obtained medical records from Midwestern Regional Medical Center regarding [REDACTED]

alleged injuries. The medical records indicated that [REDACTED] complained of back pains and pain to her shoulder. [REDACTED] claimed that "two days ago" the police bent her arm back. [REDACTED] was diagnosed with "shoulder injury" and possible "rotating cuff tear." (Att. #20)

Obtained Criminal Warrant [REDACTED] which indicated that a female black named [REDACTED] 977, was wanted for Criminal Trespassing to Building. (Att. #31)

Obtained a report from accused Officer Quinn. Officer Quinn denied all allegations. Officer Quinn denied observing Officer Bernson or any other Department member commit any of the acts alleged in the allegation section. Officer Quinn stated that [REDACTED] was initially stopped for warrant [REDACTED] (Att. #30)

Obtained a report from accused Officer Bernson. Officer Bernson denied all allegations. Officer Bernson denied observing Officer Quinn or any other Department member commit any of the acts alleged in the allegation section. Officer Bernson stated that [REDACTED] vehicle was curbed after license plate check via PDT revealed the owner to have a suspended driver's license and the driver matched the physical description. Officer Bernson related that upon the request of Officer Quinn, The driver failed to produce a driver's license and insurance card. Officer Bernson stated that the driver exited the vehicle and was escorted to the squad car. Officer Bernson related that [REDACTED] the passenger, became angry, aggressively exited the passenger side door and began yelling loudly disturbing the citizens and vehicular traffic along 95<sup>th</sup> Street. Officer Bernson stated that [REDACTED] was taken into custody for Disorderly Conduct. Officer Bernson related that he did not observe Officer Quinn forcibly bend [REDACTED] arm behind her back and shove her against the car without justification.

## CONCLUSION:

Officer Frank Quinn:

Allegation #1: Unfounded – [REDACTED] alleged that Officer Quinn and Officer Bernson curbed her vehicle because of her race and sexual orientation. Officer Quinn however, checked [REDACTED] license plates via LEADS and learned that an individual named [REDACTED] had a warrant. Officer Bernson added that the LEADS indicated that [REDACTED] driver's license was expired and that the driver of the vehicle matched the physical description provided by LEADS.

Allegation #2: Not Sustained – The available evidence is insufficient to prove or disprove the allegation. While [REDACTED] stated that she heard [REDACTED] request Officer Quinn's name and star number at the scene, she was not in the same vicinity as [REDACTED] at the 22<sup>nd</sup> District Station. Officer Quinn denied the allegation.

Allegation #3: Unfounded – [REDACTED] was in police custody at 95<sup>th</sup> and Ashland. The officers were justified in conducting a custodial search of [REDACTED] vehicle.

Allegation #4: Unfounded – [REDACTED] alleged that she was verbally abused; however, [REDACTED] stated that she did not hear Officer Quinn use any profanities while she and [REDACTED] were in his custody. Officer Quinn denied the allegation.

Allegation #5: Unfounded – [REDACTED] stated she exited the vehicle and without reason Officer Quinn grabbed her by her shoulder and maliciously "shoved" her against a vehicle. Medical records indicate that [REDACTED] was diagnosed with "shoulder injury" and a possible "cuff tear." [REDACTED] and Officer Bernson stated that when Officer Quinn handcuffed [REDACTED] became angry, exited the vehicle and began yelling at Officer Quinn and Officer Bernson. [REDACTED] also stated that as Officer Bernson attempted to handcuff [REDACTED] began swinging her arms and struggling with the officers. [REDACTED] related that it was only after [REDACTED] stopped moving that the officers were able to handcuff [REDACTED].

Allegation #6: Not Sustained – The available evidence is insufficient to prove or disprove the allegation. While [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] told Officer Quinn that the handcuffs were too tight, Officer Quinn denied the allegation. The medical records contain no indication or complaint of wrist injury. [REDACTED] struggled with the officers.

Officer Joshua Bernson:

Allegation #1: Unfounded – [REDACTED] alleged that Officer Quinn and Officer Bernson curbed her vehicle because of her race and sexual orientation. Officer Quinn however, checked [REDACTED] license plates via LEADS and learned that an individual named [REDACTED] had a warrant. Officer Bernson added that the LEADS indicated that [REDACTED] driver's license was expired and that the driver of the vehicle matched the physical description provided by LEADS.

Allegation #2: Not Sustained – The available evidence is insufficient to prove or disprove the allegation. While [REDACTED] stated that she heard [REDACTED] request Officer Bernson's name and star number at the scene, she was not in the same vicinity as [REDACTED] at the 22<sup>nd</sup> District Station. Officer Bernson denied the allegation.

Allegation #3: Unfounded – [REDACTED] was in police custody at 95<sup>th</sup> and Ashland. The officers were justified in conducting a custodial search of [REDACTED] vehicle.

COMPLAINT AGAINST DEPARTMENT MEMBER  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

INITIAL COMPLAINT CATEGORY C.R. NO

05-L

293752

TO COMMANDING OFFICER INVESTIGATING UNIT

UNIT

MANNER COMPLAINT RECEIVED

☐ BELL ☐ PAX ☐ LETTER ☐ IN PERSON

RECEIVED FROM COMPLAINANT BY-NAME

TOPPINS, Yolanda

RANK

Civ

STAR/EMPL. NO.

UNIT NO.

DAY OF WK.

DATE

TIME

Wed

12 Nov 03

7:30

REGISTERED WITH O.P.S. BY-NAME

SAME AS ABOVE

RANK

STAR/EMPL. NO.

UNIT NO.

DAY OF WK.

DATE

TIME

SAME

AS ABOVE

NAME

RANK

STAR NO.

UNIT NO.

SOCIAL SECURITY NO.

DUTY STATUS

☐ ON ☐ OFF

☐ ON ☐ OFF

☐ ON ☐ OFF

☐ ON ☐ OFF

☐ ON ☐ OFF

LOCATION OF INCIDENT

DAY OF -

DATE

TIME

TOTAL ACCUSED

TOTAL COMPL. TOTAL WT.

Mon

10 Nov 03

3:15

NAME

\*ADDRESS (STREET, APT. NO., CITY, STATE)

ZIP CODE

HOME PHONE NO. CONTACT AT

TIME

PHONE NO.

CHECK NAME ADDITIONAL COMPLAINANT/VICTIM/WITNESS

C V W

NAME

\* ADDRESS (STREET, APT. NO., CITY, STATE)

PHONE NO.

X

FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS (C), VICTIMS (V), WITNESSES (W), USE ANOTHER FORMSET.

\* IF CPID MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR/EMPLOYEE, SOCIAL SECURITY NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN PHONE NO. BOX.

NARRATIVE OF ALLEGATIONS

The complainant alleges that two male white uniformed officers, (possible last names of Quinn # 15331 and Bernson) stopped her and friend because of their race and their sexual preference. The complainant alleges that one of the officers "Quinn," bent her arm and shoved her against the car in a rough manner. The complainant alleges that the same officer was verbally abusive towards her. The complainant further alleges that the officers searched the vehicle without justification. The complainant alleges that her friend was issued two false citations.

ARREST: (Complainant) Disorderly

TRAFFIC Citations: (Witness) No insurance and No Driver Licenses

INJURY: (Complainant) torn ligaments on right side of back, arm and shoulder

MEDICAL: (Complainant) Midwestern Regional Medical Center

INVESTIGATOR ASSIGNED

1. SMITH, Thornton

RANK

Inv III

STAR/EMPL. NO.

250

UNIT NO.

113

SOCIAL SECURITY NO.

2. Mc MULLEN, Lakeisha

Inv

113

DATE ASSIGNED - TIME

1 13 November 2003- 1330

DAY OF WK.

Thurs.

DATE ASSIGNED - TIME

2 09 March 2004

DAY OF WK.

Tues

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

290164

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

22 August 2003

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE  
ATTENTION ☒ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME  
Roberto Soto

RANK  
Inv.

STAR NO.  
251

EMPLOYEE NO.  
[REDACTED]

UNIT ASSIGN.  
113

ADDRESS OF INCIDENT  
[REDACTED]

DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME  
19 May 03 1700

BEAT 825

LOCATION CODE\*  
19

NAME  
1. John Dowling

RANK  
PO

STAR NO.  
19486

SOCIAL SEC. NO.  
[REDACTED]

EMPLOYEE NO.  
[REDACTED]

UNIT ASSIGN.  
009

2 Timothy Hayes

PO

7308

009

SEX/RACE  
1 M/W [REDACTED] 58

D.O.B.  
DATE OF APPOINTMENT  
18 Mar.96

DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)  
☒ ON DUTY ☐ OFF DUTY

☒ SWORN  
☐ CIVILIAN

PHYS. COND. CODE  
01

2 M/W [REDACTED] 66

13 April 98

XON DUTY ☐ OFF DUTY

☒ SWORN  
☐ CIVILIAN

01

IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED INDICATED  
1.

CHARGES

COURT BRANCH

DISPOSITION & DATE

2

NAME

ADDRESS\*\*

CITY STATE

TELEPHONE

SEX/RACE

D.O.B./AGE

PHYS. COND. CODE  
01

73

NAME

ADDRESS\*\*

CITY STATE

TELEPHONE

SEX/RACE

D.O.B./AGE

PHYS. COND. CODE

NAME

ADDRESS\*\*

CITY STATE

TELEPHONE

SEX/RACE

D.O.B./AGE

PHYS. COND. CODE  
01

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

See Report

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant  
02 Tavern/Liquor Store  
03 Other Business Establishment  
04 Police Building  
05 Lockup Facility  
06 Police Maintenance Facility  
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility  
08 Other Police Property  
09 Police Communications System  
10 Court Room

11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility  
12 Park District Property  
13 Airport  
14 Public Property - Other  
15 Other Private Premise  
16 Expressway/Interstate System  
17 Public Way - Other  
18 Waterway, Incl. Park District  
19 Private Residence

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal  
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence  
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized  
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence  
05 Injured, Hospitalized  
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence  
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid  
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence  
09 Deceased  
10 Deceased - Under Influence

ZCPD-44.112a (1/84)

CR#:290164

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegations(s).  
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

See Report

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-  
SUPPORTING ALLEGATION  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

2

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-  
SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S)  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

24,28

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE

LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS  
SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:

31

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation. Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for .... days (not to exceed 5 days).

**FINDINGS:**

ACCUSED # 1: POLICE OFFICER JOHN DOWLING # 19486

Allegations 1 &amp; 2: Not Sustained

ACCUSED # 2: POLICE OFFICER TIMOTHY HAYES # 7308

Allegations 1 &amp; 2: Not Sustained

APPROVED: [REDACTED]

Summary Prepared by Inv. Andrew Butler

DATE INITIATED  
(DATE COM INVESTIGATION) 18 JUNE 03DATE COMPLETED  
(DATE OF THIS 22 AUGUST 03ELAPSED TIME  
(TOTAL TIME [REDACTED])Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review  
for by completing the Investigator's Section.

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8½ X 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.



**ALLEGATIONS:**

The complainant [REDACTED] telephoned the Office of Professional Standards on 17 June 03 at 1005 hours and registered this complaint with Intake Aide, [REDACTED]. The complainant alleged that on 19 May 03 at approximately 1700 hours, in the vicinity of [REDACTED], **Officers John Dowling & Timothy Hayes:**

1. Punched him about the face.
2. Punched him about the stomach.

**INVESTIGATION:**

The allegations are as stated in the Allegations section of the Summary Digest Report.

In a telephone interview, [REDACTED] repeated the allegations as already defined.

The arrest report of [REDACTED] reflected that he was charged with Delivery of a Controlled Substance and Possession of a Controlled Substance. [REDACTED] was observed making a narcotic transaction and was subsequently taken into custody. [REDACTED] was transported to the 009 District by Officers John Dowling and Timothy Hayes. [REDACTED] was taken into custody and transported without incident.

Mug Shot Photos of [REDACTED] do not reflect any injuries.

Officer Timothy Hayes reported that he observed [REDACTED] on four separate occasions making narcotic transactions. He continued that [REDACTED] was taken into custody and the only physical contact he had with him was to conduct a custodial search of his person and place him in handcuffs.

Officer Timothy Hayes categorically denied the allegation.

Officer John Dowling reported essentially the same information as Officer Hayes. Officer Hayes also categorically denied the allegation.

**CONCLUSION:**

The reporting investigator recommends a finding of Not Sustained, against Officers John Dowling and Timothy Hayes, regarding the allegations that they punched [REDACTED] about the face and stomach. There is insufficient evidence to prove or refute the allegations as listed.

# Redaction Log

Total Number of Redactions in Document: 478

## Redaction Reasons by Page

Page	Reason	Description	Occurrences
1			9
2			20
3			22
4			19
5			15
6			13
7			10
8			17
9			2
10			10
11			9
12			7
13			7
14			8
15			15
16			12
17			15
18			8
19			7
20			9
21			1
22			14
23			2
24			7
25			4
26			1
27			20
28			18
29			8
30			12

## Redaction Log

Page	Reason	Description	Occurrences
31			5
32			1
33			12
34			26
35			38
36			19
37			26
38			7
39			8
40			2
41			3
42			9
43			1

# Redaction Log

## Redaction Reasons by Exemption

Reason	Description	Pages (Count)
		1(9) 2(20) 3(22) 4(19) 5(15) 6(13) 7(10) 8(17) 9(2) 10(10) 11(9) 12(7) 13(7) 14(8) 15(15) 16(12) 17(15) 18(8) 19(7) 20(9) 21(1) 22(14) 23(2) 24(7) 25(4) 26(1) 27(20) 28(18) 29(8) 30(12) 31(5) 32(1) 33(12) 34(26) 35(38) 36(19) 37(26) 38(7) 39(8) 40(2) 41(3) 42(9) 43(1)