

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**

265843

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

11 January 2001

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION

☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS
☒ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME

Joseph P. FIVELSON

RANK
Sgt.

STAR NO
857

SOCIAL SEC NO

EMPLOYEE NO

UNIT ASSIGN
121-C

ADDRESS OF INCIDENT

851 W. Waveland

DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME

7 September 2000 - 1230 hrs.

BEAT OF INCIDENT

1324

LOCATION CODE*

14

NAME

Joseph J. COX

RANK
P.O.

STAR NO
19577

SOCIAL SEC NO

EMPLOYEE NO

UNIT ASSIGN
023

ACCUSED

SEX/RACE D O B

1 M/W

1948

DATE OF APPOINTMENT

18 May 1981

DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)

☒ ON DUTY

☐ OFF DUTY

☒ SWORN

☐ CIVILIAN

PHYS

COND

CODE†

01

IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/CHARGED

CHARGES

COURT BRANCH

DISPOSITION & DATE

NAME

Brenda Dunn

ADDRESS**

Sgt. #1491 Empire

CITY STATE

TELEPHONE

0321

SEX/RACE

F/W

D O B / AGE

1961

PHYS

COND

CODE†

01

NAME

ADDRESS**

CITY STATE

TELEPHONE

SEX/RACE

D O B / AGE

PHYS

COND

CODE†

NAME

Jose MEJIA

ADDRESS**

CITY STATE

TELEPHONE

SEX/RACE

M/H

D O B / AGE

48 y.o.

PHYS

COND

CODE†

01

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES

On 20 September 2000, 1420 hours, Sergeant Pamela CURETON, Unit 121, contacted A. SPRINGFIELD, Unit 113, and registered the following allegations:

ALLEGATIONS

Allegation Number 1: It is alleged on 7 September 2000, after 1230 hours, Officer COX conducted personal business on duty, in that he secured the use of the LeMoyné School parking lot [REDACTED] location where the accused works security.

Allegation Number 2: It is alleged that on an ongoing basis, Officer COX, while on-duty, conducts personal business related to his security position for the [REDACTED].

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant
02 Tavern/Liquor Store
03 Other Business Establishment
04 Police Building
05 Lockup Facility
06 Police Maintenance Facility
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility
08 Other Police Property
09 Police Communications System
10 Court Room

11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
12 Park District Property
13 Airport
14 Public Property Other
15 Other Private Premise
16 Expressway/Interstate System
17 Public Way - Other
18 Waterway Incl. Park District
19 Private Residence

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

** IF CPD MEMBER LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

CPD 44 112A (1/84)

CR NO

265843

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s). In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

SEE ATTACHED SHEETS

SUMMARY

ATTACHMENTS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE
	2,3,12,13,14,20	none	none	22

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for days (not to exceed 5 days).

FINDINGS:

Allegation Number 1. Sustained - Violation of Rule 10, Inattention to duty, in that on 7 September 2000, after 1230 hours, at 851 W. Waveland, Officer COX was inattentive to his assigned duties in the 23rd District Community Policing office, when he conducted personnel business while on duty by securing the use of the LeMoyne School parking lot for a function that facilitated his off duty security position with the Anshe Emet Day School.

Other Violation: Violation of Rule 4, Any conduct or action taken to use the official position for personal gain or influence, in that on 7 September 2000, after 1230 hours, at 851 W. Waveland, Officer COX used his official position to secure the use of the LeMoyne School parking lot in order to facilitate his off duty security position with the Anshe Emet Day School.

Allegation Number 2. Not Sustained

RECOMMENDATION:

(The accused's complimentary and disciplinary histories were taken into consideration in the following recommendation.)

That Officer COX be suspended for 1 day.

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	29 SEP 2000	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	11 JAN 2001	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME, EXPRESSED IN DAYS)	104
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section		IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF V			

INVESTIGATION

This investigation was opened after the Community Policing Sergeant of the 23rd District, Sergeant Brenda DUNN, became aware that a member of her staff, Officer Joseph COX was conducting business related to his outside security interests with the [REDACTED] School, 3760 N. Pine Grove, during his duty hours. On 8 September 2000, Sergeant DUNN received a phone call in the 23rd District Community Policing Office, from an employee of the [REDACTED]. This employee was attempting to confirm the use of the [REDACTED] for a Police Department function scheduled for 10 September 2000. Sergeant DUNN was informed Officer COX had made the request to utilize the parking lot. Since Sergeant DUNN was unaware of any police related function scheduled for 10 September 2000, she contacted Officer COX. According to Sergeant DUNN she spoke directly to Officer COX and he informed her he had secured the use of the parking lot for the Anshe Emet Day School. Sergeant DUNN proceeded to the LeMoyne School and spoke with [REDACTED] the engineer who had originally spoken to Officer Cox. Sergeant DUNN learned that on 7 September 2000, after 1230 hours, while on-duty, Officer COX proceeded to the LeMoyne School and attempted to speak to the principal about utilizing the parking lot. Officer COX was directed to [REDACTED] because the school principal was attending a meeting. Officer COX did not give [REDACTED] reason for using the parking lot and he assumed it was for a meeting at the police station. [REDACTED] also informed Sergeant DUNN that Officer COX told him police officers would be watching the parking lot. In addition Sergeant DUNN determined Officer COX had left a 23rd District Community Policing business card at the school as a method of contact. Sergeant DUNN, believed Officer COX might be conducting business related to his security position on an on-going basis.

During the course of conducting this investigation, surveillances were executed in order to determine if the accused, on an on-going basis, was conducting business related to his security position. These surveillances failed to provide intelligence that would warrant further investigation into the accused working his security position on an on-going basis.

On 19 December 2000, the LeMoyne School building engineer, [REDACTED] was interviewed. In summary, he stated on 7 September 2000, in the early afternoon, Officer COX, spoke to him about using the lot on 10 September 2000. [REDACTED] stated COX never told him what the lot was being used for and he assumed it was for a police function because COX identified himself as a police officer and COX stated police officers would be watching the lot.

In furtherance of this investigation the undersigned spoke with the Anshe Emet Day School Administrator, [REDACTED] verified Officer COX works security for the school. She stated COX performs security related functions and schedules other officers to work security.

The accused responded in a written report that he secured the use of the LeMoyne

School parking lot because officials from the Anshe Emet Day School contacted him at the Community Policing Office and requested his help in securing additional parking because of a dedication ceremony that was scheduled for 10 September 2000. Officer COX admitted he coordinates security for the Anshe Emet Day School but he secured the parking lot because he was facilitating solutions to a problem affecting the community.

This investigation determined the accused has a part time job providing security for the Anshe Emet Day School. The accused, in order to facilitate a function at his part time job, obtained the use of a public schools parking lot while he was on duty. The accused demonstrated that obtaining the parking lot was not related to his position with the Chicago Police Department in that Officer COX; failed to notify his immediate supervisor of the request until he was confronted, never informed LeMoyne School officials of the reason why the parking lot was needed, and lastly informed LeMoyne School officials that police officers would be watching the lot knowing they would be off duty officers scheduled to work by him for the Anshe Emet Day School.

EVIDENCE SHEET

Attachments

- No. 1 Complaint Against Department Member
- No. 2 Initiation Report of Sergeant DUNN, dated 14 September 2000
- No. 3 Report of Sergeant FIVELSON, dated 18 September 2000, Confidential Information Received
- No. 4 Photo of Officer COX, #10577, Unit 023
- No. 5 Report of Sergeant FIVELSON, dated 3 October 2000, Interview of Complainant
- No. 6 Surveillance Report of Sergeant FIVELSON and Attendance & Assignment Sheet, 31 October 2000
- No. 7 Surveillance Report of Sergeant FIVELSON and Attendance & Assignment Sheet, 3 November 2000
- No. 8 Surveillance Report of Sergeant FIVELSON and Attendance & Assignment Sheet, 5 December 2000
- No. 9 Report of Sergeant FIVELSON, dated 6 December 2000, Security License Information
- No. 10 Report of Sergeant FIVELSON, dated 6 December 2000, Security At Anshe Emet Day School
- No. 11 Surveillance Report of Sergeant FIVELSON and Attendance & Assignment Sheet, 13 December 2000
- No. 12 Report of Sergeant FIVELSON, dated 19 December 2000, Interview Of [REDACTED]
- No. 13 Report of Sergeant FIVELSON, dated 27 December 2000, Verification Of Accused's Employment At Anshe Emet Day School
- No. 14 Report of Sergeant FIVELSON, dated 2 January 2001, Conversation With [REDACTED]
- No. 15 Report of Sergeant FIVELSON, dated 27 December 2000, Accused Report
- No. 16 Notification Of Charges/Allegations
- No. 17 Administrative Proceedings Rights
- No. 18 Request To Secure Counsel
- No. 19 Report of Officer COX, dated 5 January 2001
- No. 20 Report of Sergeant FIVELSON, dated 9 January 2001, Additional Conversation With [REDACTED]
- No. 21 Disciplinary Record of Officer COX
- No. 22 Complimentary History of Officer COX

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**

280876

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

11 June 2002

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION

☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS
☒ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVIGATOR'S NAME Peter KOCONIS		RANK Sgt	STAR NO. 805	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 121/SIS
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT [REDACTED]		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME 15 May 02/1330Hrs		BEAT OF INCIDENT 352	LOCATION CODE* 15	
1. BLAKE, Anthony		RANK P.O.	STAR NO. 9649	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 006
2. [REDACTED]						
ACCUSED	SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)	
	1. M/B	[REDACTED]	966		26 March 1990	
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	
					<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH		DISPOSITION & DATE
1. [REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]
2. [REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]
COMPLAINANTS	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	F/B	[REDACTED] 69
VICTIMS	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
WITNESSES	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	P.O. COLLINS, Valerie #7267	Emp# [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	P-2132	F/B	[REDACTED]
	Sgt. GOODE, Robert #2242	Emp# [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	P-1275	m/b	[REDACTED]

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS

This complaint was received in the Office Of Professional Standards on 24 May 2002, via the Bell telephone system at 0746 Hours from the complainant, [REDACTED]. The complainant alleges that when she was arrested for Violation of her Probation the arresting Officer, PO Anthony BLAKE #9649, stated if she went to a motel with him for four hours he would not arrest her. [REDACTED] alleges that this act occurred on 15 May 2002 at 1330 hours at her home [REDACTED].

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant
02 Tavern/Liquor Store
03 Other Business Establishment
04 Police Building
05 Lockup Facility
06 Police Maintenance Facility
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility
08 Other Police Property
09 Police Communications System
10 Court Room

11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
12 Park District Property
13 Airport
14 Public Property - Other
15 Other Private Premise
16 Expressway/Interstate System
17 Public Way - Other
18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
19 Private Residence

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

** IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s). In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

The undersigned upon receipt of this complaint went to the home of [REDACTED] on 29 May 2002 and conducted an interview regarding her allegations. [REDACTED] stated that the accused, Officer BLAKE, came to her home on 15 May 2002 to arrest her on a Violation of Probation warrant. [REDACTED] believes that her brother is the one who called the Police or told the Police that she had a warrant for her arrest. [REDACTED] stated Officer BLAKE while talking with her in the living room of her home told her if she would go to a motel with him he would not arrest her. [REDACTED] stated there were no witnesses to this conversation since her brother had left the room and her mother was on the phone in another room of the house. [REDACTED] stated a female Police Officer, came to the home searched her, and transported her to the District Station for processing.

[REDACTED] when questioned regarding a Sergeant coming to her home to interview her regarding her complaint, stated she was the one who answered the phone and the door when the Sergeant appeared at her home, not her mother, and she did not want to speak with him. The undersigned obtained copies of the relative documents to this incident and found that Ms. [REDACTED] did have a warrant that was current and was subject to arrest for Violation of Probation for a theft. The undersigned obtained a report from Officer Valerie COLLINS who searched and transported [REDACTED] or Officer BLAKE. Officer COLLINS in her report stated she did not hear or observe any inappropriate conduct by Officer BLAKE, nor did [REDACTED] make any comments to Officer COLLINS regarding the actions of Officer BLAKE.

Officer BLAKE in his response to the allegations made by [REDACTED] stated after establishing that [REDACTED] was home contacted the Communications Section and requested a Female Officer be sent to assist him in the arrest of Ms. [REDACTED]. Officer BLAKE denied making any improper solicitations to [REDACTED].

SUMMARY

ATTACHMENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
1 and 2	3 thru 7	0	7

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for days (not to exceed 5 days).

FINDINGS:

The information and evidence gathered during the course of this investigation, do not support the allegations made by [REDACTED]. Officer COLLINS was present at the scene, searched and transported [REDACTED] for processing, and did not see or hear any inappropriate comments by Officer BLAKE, and [REDACTED] mentioned any improper actions by Officer BLAKE to Officer COLLINS.

ALLEGATION: 1: NOT SUSTAINED

RECOMMENDATION: There is no penalty warranted.

REVIEWED BY 27
OCT 29 2002

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION) 29 May 2002	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT) 11 June 2002	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME, EXPRESSED IN DAYS) 12 Days
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.		

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 X 11" SHEET OF

EVIDENCE:

1. Complaint Against Department Member
2. Interview of complainant [REDACTED]
3. Information report of Sergeant Robert E. GOODE III, #2242.
4. Copy of Arrest report for complainant, [REDACTED] indicating Violation of Probation.
5. Copy of Arrest and Warrant information.
6. Witness report of Police Officer Valerie COLLINS #7267.
7. Report of accused Police Officer Anthony BLAKE, and related documents.

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**

293765

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)
2 December 03

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED:

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION

☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS
☒ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVIGATOR'S NAME Linda SALUSTRO				RANK P.A.	STAR NO. 3944	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 121
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT 3151 W. Harrison (Area 4)				DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME 16 Jan 01 - 2400 hrs		DEPT OF INCIDENT 1124		LOCATION CODE* 04
NAME 1. None				RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.
2.								
ACCUSED	SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT	DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN		PHYS. COND. CODE†
	1.			<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN		
	2.			<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN		
	IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH		DISPOSITION & DATE	
1.								
2.								
COMPLAINANTS	NAME	*ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	78 01
VICTIMS	NAME	*ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
WITNESSES	NAME	*ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS

The complainant [REDACTED] alleges that on 16 Jan 01 he was falsely arrested and forced to sign a confession involving the death of [REDACTED]

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant
02 Tavern/Liquor Store
03 Other Business Establishment
04 Police Building
05 Lockup Facility
06 Police Maintenance Facility
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility
08 Other Police Property
09 Police Communications System
10 Court Room

11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
12 Park District Property
13 Airport
14 Public Property - Other
15 Other Private Premise
16 Expressway/Interstate System
17 Public Way - Other
18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
19 Private Residence

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

CPD-44.112A (1/84)

C.R. NO.

293765

SUMMARY

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s). In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

The complainant in this investigation [REDACTED] supplied a contact address where he does not reside and a telephone number that is not listed to him. His grandmother [REDACTED] stated that she would inform [REDACTED] of the attempts to interview him, yet as of this report [REDACTED] has not contacted the undersigned for an interview.

Because [REDACTED] alleged that he was falsely arrested his Criminal History was accessed. It was learned that on the [REDACTED] alleged incident, 16 Jan 01, [REDACTED] initiated his own arrest. The Arrest Report for that date states that [REDACTED] flagged down a passing squad car and informed the officers that he wanted to turn himself in on an outstanding warrant. The warrant, number [REDACTED] was issued for Possession of a Controlled Substance/Probation Violation.

[REDACTED] further alleged that he was forced to sign a confession regarding the death of one [REDACTED]. Because [REDACTED] has supplied resident addresses in Area Four, that Detective Area was contacted for assistance. Detective Anne Chambers # 20529, checked the list of death victims for the year 2001 and latter part of 2000 and reported that [REDACTED] was not named as a death victim in Area Four.

Investigation relative to this complaint has revealed false statements supplied by the named complainant. Because of these falsehoods and because the complainant has not cooperated in this investigation it is recommended that this Complaint be classified as not sustained at this time.

ATTACH-
MENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
1,			5

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for days (not to exceed 5 days).

FINDINGS—RECOMMENDATIONS

FINDING: Not sustained, in that the complainant has submitted false information regarding his complaint, and has failed to cooperate.

RECOMMENDATION: None, case to be filed.

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	14 Nov 03	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	2 Dec 03	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME, EXPRESSED IN DAYS)	14 days
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section			IN	[REDACTED]	

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.

Internal Affairs Division
General Investigation Section

2 December 2003
CR# 293765

EVIDENCE

Attachments

1. Complaint Against Department Member
Conflict Certification
2. Report of P.A. Salustro, Complainant Interview
3. Certified Letter Receipt # 7002 2410 0001 4199 8215 and letter sent to complainant
4. Report of P.A. Salustro, [REDACTED]
5. Arrest Report of [REDACTED]
6. Criminal History [REDACTED]

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

295423

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MONTH-YEAR)

19 Mar 04

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS
☒ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM: SUPERINTENDENT'S NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.
Maria E. MARTIN		P.A.	3738			121
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT		DATE OF INCIDENT		CLERK OF INCIDENT	LOCATION CODE*	
7100 S. Vincennes		22 Jan 04 2300 Hrs		731	17	
NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN
1. Eugene DOMURET		Sgt.	1447			007
2. George SPACEK		P.O.	3913			007
ACCUSED	SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)	
	1. M/W		19 Sep 70		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
					01	
ACCUSED	2. M/W		27 Aug 01		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
					01	
IF APPLICABLE: DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	FILED/OPENING DATE	
1						
2						
COMPLAINANT	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
						D.O.B./AGE
						PHYS. COND. CODE**
VICTIMS	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
						D.O.B./AGE
						PHYS. COND. CODE**
WITNESSES	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
						D.O.B./AGE
						PHYS. COND. CODE**

☒ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS	The complainant alleges that the accused police officers ;
	1. Searched his vehicle without justification.
	2. Broke his sunglasses, eyeglasses, two compact disks, one leather attache case. Placed police canine dogs inside his vehicle that soiled the upholstery. Dirtied twenty-three (23) silk neckties. Dented and scratched his vehicle.
	3. Threatened him by stating, "I have big plans for you, I am saving you for something special, I'll make sure of it."

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant
02 Tavern/Inn/Store
03 Other Business Establishment
04 Police Building
05 Lockup Facility
06 Police Maintenance Facility
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility
08 Other Police Property
09 Police Communications System
10 Court Room

11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
12 Park District Property
13 Airport
14 Public Property - Other
15 Other Private Property
16 Expressway/Interstate System
17 Public Way - Other
18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
19 Private Residence

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES**

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

** IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX

SUMMARY	Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s). In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.			
	INVESTIGATION PLEASE SEE CONTINUATION PAGES			
ATTACHMENTS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
	1	0	0	17
FINDINGS - RECOMMENDATIONS	Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation. Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for days (not to exceed 5 days).			
	<div style="display: flex;"> <div style="width: 20%;"> FINDINGS: ACCUSED: ALLEGATIONS: </div> <div style="width: 80%;"> <p>Sergeant Eugene DOMURET, Star#1447, 007th District</p> <p>Police Officer George SPACEK, Star#3913, 007th District</p> <p>Police Officer Thomas CLEARY, Star#11924, 007th District</p> <p>Sergeant Eugene DOMURET, Star#1447, 007th District</p> <p>Allegation #1. UNFOUNDED</p> <p>Allegation #2. UNFOUNDED</p> <p>Allegation #3. UNFOUNDED</p> <p>Police Officer George SPACEK, Star#3913, 007th District</p> <p>Allegation #1. UNFOUNDED</p> <p>Allegation #2. UNFOUNDED</p> <p>Police Officer Thomas CLEARY, Star#11924, 007th District</p> <p>Allegation #1. UNFOUNDED</p> <p>Allegation #2. UNFOUNDED</p> </div> </div> <p>RECOMMENDATION: No disciplinary action warranted; case to be filed.</p>			
DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)		DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)		ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME EXPRESSED IN DAYS)
29 Jan 04		19 Mar 04		50 Days
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.				
IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 X 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER				

The complainant, [REDACTED] told the R/I in an interview that while he was sitting in his vehicle he was approached by the accused Police Officers SPACEK and CLEARY who removed him from his vehicle. The accused placed him in a squad car and proceeded to search his vehicle without justification. [REDACTED] stated that one of the accused, Sergeant DOMURET told him, "I got big plans for you, I am saving you for something special, I'll make sure of it." [REDACTED] related that after he was released by the accused he noticed that the interior of his vehicle had muddy dog footprints. [REDACTED] claims his neckties were dirtied, sunglasses and eyeglasses were bent and broken, compact disks were broken and his leather attaché case was torn and damaged. [REDACTED] also stated that he did not observe police dogs in his vehicle and did not observe the accused break or damage his belongings or scratch and dent his vehicle. [REDACTED] mailed the R/I a list of his damaged properties and an estimate for the damage to his vehicle. (Attachments 1, 3, and 4)

The R/I requested an Evidence Technician to have evidence photos taken of [REDACTED] vehicle and personal belongings. Evidence Technician Edward PEREZ, Star #5858, Beat 9615, took photographs of [REDACTED] vehicle and belongings. The R/I requested and obtained the same photographs. Photos are included as an attachment. (Attachment 5 and 17)

The R/I made several attempts to contact witness [REDACTED] by leaving telephone messages with her family members and via certified letter. [REDACTED] failed to cooperate with this investigation by not returning the R/I telephone calls. In furtherance, the certified letter was returned via U.S. Postal service stamped "Attempted Not Known." (Attachments 6 and 7)

The accused police officers and sergeant refute the allegations made by the complainant. The accused members stated in their Subject To/Froms that while they were conducting a Drug Sales mission relative to CAPS priority Hot Spot #0731-04-001, at the location of 7100 to 7300 S. Vincennes, they observed the complainant's vehicle displaying a "POLICE" baseball cap on the rear window of his vehicle. The accused officers approached [REDACTED] to investigate the possibility that [REDACTED] might be a Police Impersonator. [REDACTED] allowed the accused to search his vehicle for weapons after he informed the accused that he was not a police officer. Canine unit searched exterior of vehicle. Contact card was completed by the accused stating the reason for the street stop. Sergeant DOMURET stated in his Subject To/From Report that he was summoned by the

accused police officers that related to him facts relative to the possibility of placing the complainant under arrest for a charge of impersonating the police. After Sergeant DOMURET interviewed the complainant, the complainant, asked Sergeant DOMURET if he was going to be arrested for police impersonation. Sergeant DOMURET replied to [REDACTED] that he was not going to arrest him, but that he would save the arrest for another time when there was more evidence to charge him with. (Attachments 11, 13, 15 and 16)


The R/I has reviewed the evidence gathered in this investigation and determines that there is sufficient evidence to disprove allegation #1. The accused provided sufficient evidence that the accused had reasonable cause to approach the complainant and search his vehicle. The R/I recommends that Allegation #1 be classified as UNFOUNDED. The R/I has determined that Allegation #2 also be classified as UNFOUNDED. The complainant did not observe the accused officers break his property or damage his vehicle. In furtherance, the complainant cannot prove his vehicle was damaged prior to his incident. It is important to note that there are no independent witnesses. The complainant's witness, who is a personal friend, has refused to co operate with this investigation. The R/I determines that Allegation #3 be classified as UNFOUNDED. The accused sergeant merely responded to the complainant's question relative to being arrested for impersonating the police. In essence, Sergeant DUMURET replied to the complainant that he would not arrest him because he felt there was insufficient evidence to charge him with police impersonation. There is a very strong indication that the complainant exaggerated the sergeant's statement.

ADDITIONAL ACCUSED –

3. Thomas CLEARY, Police Officer, Star#11924, S.S.# [REDACTED] Employee

[REDACTED] Unit 007, M/W, DOB [REDACTED] 1966, On Duty, Sworn

ATTACHMENTS

1. Complaint Against Department Member
- 1A Complaint Register Investigation Conflict Certification
2. Certified Letter and attachments
3. Report submitted by Police Agent Maria E. MARTIN, Star #3738
4. Report submitted by Police Agent Maria E. MARTIN, Star #3738
5. Report submitted by Police Agent Maria E. MARTIN, Star #3738
6. Report submitted by Police Agent Maria E. MARTIN, Star #3738
7. Certified Letter and attachments
8. Documents submitted by the complainant, 
9. Attendance and Assignment Record
10. Notifications/Allegations
11. Report submitted by accused Police Officer George SPACEK, Star#3913,
007th District
12. Notifications/Allegations
13. Report submitted by accused Police Officer Thomas CLEARY, Star #11924,
007th District
14. Notifications/Allegations
15. Report submitted by accused Sergeant Eugene DOMURET, Star#1447,
007th District
16. Contact Card
17. Evidence photographs

BUREAU OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS
Investigations Division
Area North Investigations Section


14 DEC 2016
LOG #1083188

TO: Eddie WELCH III
Bureau Chief
Bureau of Internal Affairs

FROM: Terrance COCHRAN Star #894
Sergeant
Bureau of Internal Affairs – Area North Investigations


SUBJECT: Approval of Log Investigation #1083188

The attached Log Investigation has been completed and is submitted
for your approval.



Terrance COCHRAN Star #894
Sergeant
Bureau of Internal Affairs – Area North Investigations

APPROVED:



Case Management Supervisor – Mark O'CONNOR
Sergeant
Bureau of Internal Affairs