

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

295423

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO-YEAR)

19 Mar 04

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☒ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.
Maria E. MARTIN		P.A.	3738			121
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT		DATE OF INCIDENT		BEAT OF INCIDENT		LOCATION CODE*
7100 S. Vincennes		22 Jan 04 2300 Hrs		731		17
NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.
1. Eugene DOMURET		Sgt.	1447			007
2. George SPACEK		P.O.	3913			007
SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		PHYS. COND. CODE*
1. M/W		19 Sep 70		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		01
2. M/W		27 Aug 01		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		01
IF APPLICABLE- DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE	
1.						
2.						
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
						M/W
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
						F/unK
						UNK

☒ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS

The complainant alleges that the accused police officers ;

1. Searched his vehicle without justification.
2. Broke his sunglasses, eyeglasses, two compact disks, one leather attache case. Placed police canine dogs inside his vehicle that soiled the upholstery. Dirtied twenty-three (23) silk neckties. Dented and scratched his vehicle.
3. Threatened him by stating, "I have big plans for you, I am saving you for something special, I'll make sure of it."

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant  
02 Tavern/Liquor Store  
03 Other Business Establishment  
04 Police Building  
05 Lockup Facility  
06 Police Maintenance Facility  
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility  
08 Other Police Property  
09 Police Communications System  
10 Court Room

11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility  
12 Park District Property  
13 Airport  
14 Public Property - Other  
15 Other Private Premise  
16 Expressway / Interstate System  
17 Public Way - Other  
18 Waterway, Incl. Park District  
19 Private Residence

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES\*\*

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal  
02 No Visible Injury - Under influence  
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized  
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence  
05 Injured, Hospitalized  
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence  
07 Injured, Refused Medic a I Aid  
08 Injured, Refused Medic a I Aid - Under Influence  
09 Deceased  
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\*\*\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

SUMMARY	<p>Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).          In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.</p> <div style="text-align: center; padding: 20px;"> <p>INVESTIGATION</p> <p>PLEASE SEE CONTINUATION PAGES</p> </div>			
	ATTACHMENTS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:
	1	0	0	17
FINDINGS - RECOMMENDATIONS	<p>Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.          Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for days (not to exceed 5 days).</p>			
	<p><b>FINDINGS:</b></p> <p><b>ACCUSED:</b> Sergeant Eugene DOMURET, Star#1447, 007th District          Police Officer George SPACEK, Star#3913, 007th District          Police Officer Thomas CLEARY, Star#11924, 007th District</p> <p><b>ALLEGATIONS:</b> Sergeant Eugene DOMURET, Star#1447, 007th District          Allegation #1. UNFOUNDED          Allegation #2. UNFOUNDED          Allegation #3. UNFOUNDED          Police Officer George SPACEK, Star#3913, 007th District          Allegation #1. UNFOUNDED          Allegation #2. UNFOUNDED          Police Officer Thomas CLEARY, Star#11924, 007th District          Allegation #1. UNFOUNDED          Allegation #2. UNFOUNDED</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDATION:</b> No disciplinary action warranted; case to be filed.</p>			
DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)		DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)		ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME, EXPRESSED IN DAYS)
29 Jan 04		19 Mar 04		50 Days
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.				
IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 X 11" SHEET OF "WHITE PAPER"				

The complainant, [REDACTED] told the R/I in an interview that while he was sitting in his vehicle he was approached by the accused Police Officers SPACEK and CLEARY who removed him from his vehicle. The accused placed him in a squad car and proceeded to search his vehicle without justification. [REDACTED] stated that one of the accused, Sergeant DOMURET told him, "I got big plans for you, I am saving you for something special, I'll make sure of it." [REDACTED] related that after he was released by the accused he noticed that the interior of his vehicle had muddy dog footprints. [REDACTED] claims his neckties were dirtied, sunglasses and eyeglasses were bent and broken, compact disks were broken and his leather attaché case was torn and damaged. [REDACTED] also stated that he did not observe police dogs in his vehicle and did not observe the accused break or damage his belongings or scratch and dent his vehicle. [REDACTED] mailed the R/I a list of his damaged properties and an estimate for the damage to his vehicle. (Attachments 1, 3, and 4)

The R/I requested an Evidence Technician to have evidence photos taken of [REDACTED] vehicle and personal belongings. Evidence Technician Edward PEREZ, Star #5858, Beat 9615, took photographs of [REDACTED] vehicle and belongings. The R/I requested and obtained the same photographs. Photos are included as an attachment. (Attachment 5 and 17)

The R/I made several attempts to contact witness [REDACTED] by leaving telephone messages with her family members and via certified letter. [REDACTED] failed to cooperate with this investigation by not returning the R/I telephone calls. In furtherance, the certified letter was returned via U.S. Postal service stamped "Attempted Not Known." (Attachments 6 and 7)

The accused police officers and sergeant refute the allegations made by the complainant. The accused members stated in their Subject To/Froms that while they were conducting a Drug Sales mission relative to CAPS priority Hot Spot #0731-04-001, at the location of 7100 to 7300 S. Vincennes, they observed the complainant's vehicle displaying a "POLICE" baseball cap on the rear window of his vehicle. The accused officers approached [REDACTED] to investigate the possibility that [REDACTED] might be a Police Impersonator. [REDACTED] allowed the accused to search his vehicle for weapons after he informed the accused that he was not a police officer. Canine unit searched exterior of vehicle. Contact card was completed by the accused stating the reason for the street stop. Sergeant DOMURET stated in his Subject To/From Report that he was summoned by the

accused police officers that related to him facts relative to the possibility of placing the complainant under arrest for a charge of impersonating the police. After Sergeant DOMURET interviewed the complainant, the complainant, asked Sergeant DOMURET if he was going to be arrested for police impersonation. Sergeant DOMURET replied to [REDACTED] that he was not going to arrest him, but that he would save the arrest for another time when there was more evidence to charge him with. (Attachments 11, 13, 15 and 16)

The R/I has reviewed the evidence gathered in this investigation and determines that there is sufficient evidence to disprove allegation#1. The accused provided sufficient evidence that the accused had reasonable cause to approach the complainant and search his vehicle. The R/I recommends that Allegation #1 be classified as UNFOUNDED. The R/I has determined that Allegation #2 also be classified as UNFOUNDED. The complainant did not observe the accused officers break his property or damage his vehicle. In furtherance, the complainant cannot prove his vehicle was damaged prior to his incident. It is important to note that there are no independent witnesses. The complainant's witness, who is a personal friend, has refused to co operate with this investigation. The R/I determines that Allegation #3 be classified as UNFOUNDED. The accused sergeant merely responded to the complainant's question relative to being arrested for impersonating the police. In essence, Sergeant DUMURET replied to the complainant that he would not arrest him because he felt there was insufficient evidence to charge him with police impersonation. There is a very strong indication that the complainant exaggerated the sergeant's statement.

ADDITIONAL ACCUSED –

3. Thomas CLEARY, Police Officer, Star#11924, S.S.# [REDACTED] Employee  
# [REDACTED] Unit 007, M/W, DOB [REDACTED] 1966, On Duty, Sworn

## ATTACHMENTS

1. Complaint Against Department Member
  - 1A Complaint Register Investigation Conflict Certification
2. Certified Letter and attachments
3. Report submitted by Police Agent Maria E. MARTIN, Star #3738
4. Report submitted by Police Agent Maria E. MARTIN, Star #3738
5. Report submitted by Police Agent Maria E. MARTIN, Star #3738
6. Report submitted by Police Agent Maria E. MARTIN, Star #3738
7. Certified Letter and attachments
8. Documents submitted by the complainant, [REDACTED]
9. Attendance and Assignment Record
10. Notifications/Allegations
11. Report submitted by accused Police Officer George SPACEK, Star#3913,  
007<sup>th</sup> District
12. Notifications/Allegations
13. Report submitted by accused Police Officer Thomas CLEARY, Star #11924,  
007<sup>th</sup> District
14. Notifications/Allegations
15. Report submitted by accused Sergeant Eugene DOMURET, Star#1447,  
007<sup>th</sup> District
16. Contact Card
17. Evidence photographs



## Redaction Log

Total Number of Redactions in Document: 34

### Redaction Reasons by Page

Page	Reason	Description	Occurrences
1			10
2			1
3			18
4			1
5			3
6			1

## Redaction Log

### Redaction Reasons by Exemption

Reason	Description	Pages (Count)
		1(10) 2(1) 3(18) 4(1) 5(3) 6(1)