

Clemans, SPD 2019-25444 – Exemption Log

| DOCUMENTS WITH REDACTIONS | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| <p><i>Refer to this sub-table to match the code on the redacted document to the applicable exemption.</i></p> <p><i>Redactions without codes pre-existed in the document.</i></p> <p><i>Redactions marked “Redacted by KCPAO” indicate pre-existing redactions made by Criminal Division for Discovery purposes.</i></p> | | | | | |
| CODE | WHAT CODE STANDS FOR | SUBJECT | | EXEMPTION | BRIEF EXPLANATION OF HOW EXEMPTION APPLIES |
| WIT | Witness Request | Names, addresses, phone numbers, email address redacted | | RCW 42.56.240(2) | This witness indicated a desire for the non-disclosure of identifying information. |
| WP | Work Product | PAO work product redacted | | RCW 42.56.290 and <i>Limstrom v. Ladenburg</i> , 136 Wn.2d 595 (1998) | Attorney work product is exempt from disclosure. PAO mental impressions, thoughts, and legal analysis redacted. |